EXHIBIT D

Page 1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN --000--COLLEEN M. PERRY, Plaintiff, No. 1500-cv-27912 LHB vs. HUNG T. LUU, M.D.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New Jersey corporation; ETHICON, INC., a New Jersey corporation; and DOES 1-60, Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D. DATE: December 19, 2014 9:00 a.m. TIME: LOCATION: THE STANFORD TERRACE INN 531 Stanford Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94306 LISA R. KEELING REPORTED BY: Certified Shorthand Reporter License No. 10518

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
2	For the Plaintiff: WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL	2	Exhibit Description Page
3	BY: NATE JONES, ESQ. 4740 Grand Avenue	3	Exhibit L-7 Teri A. Longacre, M.D. Invoice,
4	Suite 300	4	Dated 10-13-14 68
4	Kansas City, MO 64112 (816) 701-1100	5	Exhibit L-8 Curriculum Vitae of Teri A.
5	njones@wcllp.com For the Defendant: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP,	6	Longacre, M.D. 75
6	ETHICON, INC., BY: JOSHUA J. WES, ESQ.	7	Exhibit L-9 Operation/Procedure Report by
7	515 South Flower Street 42nd Floor	8	Hung T. Luu, M.D., Dated 3-23-11 139
	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223	9	Exhibit L-10 Operation Report by Charles
8	(213) 430-3400 joshua.wes@tuckerellis.com	10	Allen, M.D., 1-17-12 139
9	BUTLER SNOW, LLP	11	7 Hieli, W.D., 1-17-12
10	BY: M. ANDREW SNOWDEN, ESQ. 150 3rd Avenue South	12	
11	Suite 1600 Nashville, TN 37201	13	
	(615) 651-6700	14	
12	Andy.Snowden@butlersnow.com For the Defendant: BOYCE SCHAEFFER MAINIERI, LLP	15	
13	HUNG T. LUU, M.D. BY: LAURA L. COTA, ESQ.	16	
14	500 Esplanade Drive Suite 900	17	
15	Oxnard, CA 93036 (805) 988-9200	18	
	lcota@boyceschaefferlaw.com	19	
16 17		20	
18 19		21	
20		22	
21 22		23	
23		24	
24 25		25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	1	PROCEEDINGS:
2	Examination by: Page	2	TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D.,
3	Mr. Jones 5	3	the Witness herein, having been duly and regularly sworn
4	Ms. Cota 112	4	by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, deposed and testified
5	Mr. Jones 139	5	as follows:
6	Ms. Cota 143	6	EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES
7	Mr. Wes 145	7	MR. JONES: Q. Good morning, Doctor.
8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	8	A. Good morning.
9	Exhibit Description Page	9	Q. You've been retained by Ethicon's law firm to
10	Exhibit L-1 Opinions of Teri Longacre, M.D. 14		give opinions in this case, correct?
11	Exhibit L-2 Bakersfield Pathology Report,	11	A. Correct.
12	Dated 3-23-11 33 Exhibit L-3 Dignity Health/Bakersfield	12 13	Q. And are you here today to discuss those opinions?A. Yes, I am.
13 14	Memorial Hospital Pathology	14	Q. Are you here today to discuss the bases for those
15	Report, Dated 1-17-12 33	15	opinions?
16	Exhibit L-4 USB Flash Drive of Documents	16	A. Yes, I am.
17	Produced (Retained by Attorney	17	Q. And you understand this is the plaintiff's
18	Jones) 55	18	opportunity to ask you questions about your opinions and
19	Exhibit L-5 Plaintiff's Notice of Oral	19	the bases of those opinions?
20	Deposition of Expert Teri A.	20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Longacre, M.D. 67	21	Q. Are you prepared to give all of your opinions and
22	Exhibit L-6 Defendant's Objections to	22	the bases for those opinions today?
23	Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition	23	A. Yes, I am.
24	of Teri A. Longacre and Request	24	Q. I take it you spent some time preparing for your
I	for Production 67	25	deposition?

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Page 6		Page 8
1	A. Yes, I did.	1	A. I met with yesterday, the day before. I think
2	Q. What did that preparation entail?	2	the last three days.
3	A. Review of slides, hospital records, pathology	3	Q. So you've met with attorneys for three days?
4	reports, associated literature and discussions with	4	A. Yes.
5	Mr. Snowden predominantly.	5	Q. Who did you meet with?
6	Q. Okay. So we have I want to break that down.	6	A. Mr. Snowden.
7	We have slides, right?	7	Q. Okay.
8	A. Correct.	8	A. And most recently with Mr
9	Q. Path reports?	9	MR. WES: Mr. Wes.
10	A. Correct.	10	THE WITNESS: I know his first name, but I didn't
11	Q. Relevant medical literature?	11	know his last name.
12	A. Yes.	12	MR. JONES: Q. Yeah, sure. When were you first
13	Q. Talked with Mr. Snowden?	13	contacted by Ethicon attorneys to work on this particular
14	A. Correct.	14	case?
15	Q. Anything I'm missing?	15	A. I think it was mid summer of this year.
16	A. Operative reports, other medical records	16	Q. Mid summer 2014?
17	Q. Okay.	17	A. Yes.
18	A of course, and then there were discussions	18	Q. Who contacted you?
19	with some other attorneys, whose names escape me.	19	A. Mr. Snowden.
20	Q. Sure. Did you review any deposition testimony?	20	Q. Prior to mid summer 2014, did you have any
21	A. Yes, I did.	21	contact with attorneys representing Ethicon?
22	Q. Whose deposition testimony did you review?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Mrs. Perry's, Mr. Perry's, the I'm not sure I	23	O. When was that?
24	know how to pronounce their names, the surgeons.	24	A. The first part of the year, I believe.
25	Q. You reviewed some of the treating physicians'	25	Q. Early 2014?
	Page 7		Page 9
1	A. Yes exactly	1	A. Yes.
1 2	A. Yes, exactly. O depositions in this case?	1 2	A. Yes. O. Who contacted you then?
1 2 3	Q depositions in this case?	1 2 3	Q. Who contacted you then?
2	Q depositions in this case?A. Correct. Yes.	2	Q. Who contacted you then?A. Mr. Snowden.
2	Q depositions in this case?A. Correct. Yes.Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon	2	Q. Who contacted you then?
2 3 4	Q depositions in this case?A. Correct. Yes.Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents?	2 3 4	Q. Who contacted you then?A. Mr. Snowden.Q. Was that related to this particular case?A. No.
2 3 4 5	Q depositions in this case?A. Correct. Yes.Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents?A. I may have reviewed some, yes.	2 3 4 5	Q. Who contacted you then?A. Mr. Snowden.Q. Was that related to this particular case?A. No.Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6	Q depositions in this case?A. Correct. Yes.Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents?A. I may have reviewed some, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys contact you, and you agree to work on this particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon employees? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys contact you, and you agree to work on this particular case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon employees? A. No, I don't think so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys contact you, and you agree to work on this particular case? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon employees? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Okay. Did you meet with attorneys prior to today 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys contact you, and you agree to work on this particular case? A. Correct. Q. Prior to early 2014 had you been contacted by any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon employees? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Okay. Did you meet with attorneys prior to today to prepare for your deposition? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys contact you, and you agree to work on this particular case? A. Correct. Q. Prior to early 2014 had you been contacted by any other attorneys representing Ethicon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q depositions in this case? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon documents? A. I may have reviewed some, yes. Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your preparation in rendering your opinions in this case? A. Correct. Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the treating physicians' depositions? MR. WES: Object to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's that's the focus and then supporting background literature. That's it. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. That was my Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon employees? A. No, I don't think so. Q. Okay. Did you meet with attorneys prior to today 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Who contacted you then? A. Mr. Snowden. Q. Was that related to this particular case? A. No. Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to? A. It was the initial contact was to see if I would be interested in examining or being an expert witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific case at that time. Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact you to gauge your availability and interest to work on cases involving transvaginal mesh? A. Correct. Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys contact you, and you agree to work on this particular case? A. Correct. Q. Prior to early 2014 had you been contacted by any

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

1	Page 10		Page 12
1 i	manufacturers other than Ethicon?	1	MR. JONES: Q. Okay.
2	A. No.	2	A. I read it, but I'm not all that good with
3	Q. I take it you started your work in this case mid	3	numbers.
4 8	summer 2014 or shortly thereafter?	4	Q. Sure. You're focused more on the pathology
5	A. Probably shortly thereafter, yes. Correct.	5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Maybe late summer 2014 you started to work on	6	Q aspects?
7 t	this case?	7	A. Correct.
8	A. That would be correct.	8	Q. Not so much the design features of the TVT
9	Q. Okay. When did you first start to review the	9	Abbrevo device?
10 ı	medical records in this case?	10	A. That's correct, yes.
11	A. I believe it was August.	11	Q. Do you know do you know how the mesh and the
12	Q. When did you first start to review deposition	12	TVT Abbrevo device is cut?
13 t	testimony in this case?	13	MR. WES: Object to form.
14	A. It may have been August or September.	14	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you're asking.
15	Q. Same for relevant medical literature?	15	MR. JONES: Q. I'll ask a better question.
16	A. I had started reviewing some of the medical	16	A. Okay.
	literature after the January or the early the first	17	Q. Do you know whether the mesh in the TVT Abbrevo
	meeting that we had in January or February of the year bu		device is mechanical cut mesh or laser cut mesh?
	nothing that was specifically associated with this case.	19	A. I believe it's laser.
20	Q. Just to get generally familiar with the topics	20	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any of the aspects
21 t	that you would be touching base on?	21	of a laser cut mesh?
22	A. Correct.	22	MR. WES: Object to form. It's outside the
23	Q. Yeah. Are you aware that the device in question	23	scope.
24 i	in this case is the TVT Abbrevo?	24	MR. JONES: Q. Fair to say you're not going to
25	A. Yes.	25	be offering opinions as to the aspects of the laser cut
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Q. Have you seen a TVT Abbrevo device?	1	mesh device?
2	A. I've seen mesh that's been removed from the TVT.	2	A. That would be fair to say, yes.
3	Q. Have you seen the TVT Abbrevo device in its	3	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the lightweight
4 1	package form as it's delivered to surgeons?	4	large pore concept in mesh surgery?
5	A. No.	5	A. Yes, I
6	Q. Have you held the mesh that makes up the TVT	6	MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.
7	Abbrevo device in your own hands?	7	THE WITNESS: I am familiar with that concept,
8	A. Preplacement? No.	_	
_		8	but that's not again, this is not an area that I'm
9	Q. Preplacement?	8 9	but that's not again, this is not an area that I'm offering an opinion on.
9	Q. Preplacement? A. No.		
		9	offering an opinion on.
10	A. No.	9 10	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you.
10 11 12	A. No. Q. How about postplacement?	9 10 11	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to
10 11 12	A. No.Q. How about postplacement?A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes,	9 10 11 12	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene?
10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire.	9 10 11 12 13	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form.
10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo?	9 10 11 12 13 14	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 t	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in the TVT device?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in the TVT device? A. Polypropylene.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a foreign body reaction.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in the TVT device? A. Polypropylene. Q. Do you know how much polypropylene makes up the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a foreign body reaction. Q. Okay. There's nothing unique as far as the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in the TVT device? A. Polypropylene. Q. Do you know how much polypropylene makes up the TVT Abbrevo mesh?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 219 20	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a foreign body reaction. Q. Okay. There's nothing unique as far as the tissue or inside the vagina that would alter the body's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in the TVT device? A. Polypropylene. Q. Do you know how much polypropylene makes up the TVT Abbrevo mesh? A. No, not at the not off the top of my head.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a foreign body reaction. Q. Okay. There's nothing unique as far as the tissue or inside the vagina that would alter the body's reaction to polypropylene as compared to, say, the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. How about postplacement? A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes, but just portions of the mesh, not the entire. Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevo? A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me. Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in the TVT device? A. Polypropylene. Q. Do you know how much polypropylene makes up the TVT Abbrevo mesh? A. No, not at the not off the top of my head. Q. You don't know how long the mesh is in the TVT	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 21 20 21	offering an opinion on. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you. How does tissue inside the vagina react to polypropylene? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: The tissue response to polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a foreign body reaction. Q. Okay. There's nothing unique as far as the tissue or inside the vagina that would alter the body's reaction to polypropylene as compared to, say, the stomach?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Page 14 Page 16 1 although the overall foreign body reaction would be the 1 that distinction. 2 same. The adjacent tissue that it's sort of associated 2 Q. Can I stop you right there, Doctor. Do you mind 3 if we switch copies so that you have the copy with the 3 with will be different. I don't know that I'm answering 4 deposition exhibit sticker on it? 4 your question. 5 A. Sure. 5 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 6 6 MR. JONES: Is that fine with you, Counsel? A. But that's the best I can do. 7 Q. Sure. I appreciate it. That's probably a bad 7 (Counsel did not verbally respond.) 8 8 THE WITNESS: Sure. That's fine. question. 9 9 In the interest of being as efficient as possible MR. JONES: Thank you. That allows me to mark up 10 with everyone's time here today, did you bring a sheet 10 this copy without it showing up in the records. 11 11 with the opinions you intend to offer in this case with THE WITNESS: Good. 12 MR. JONES: Q. All right. So before I 12 you today? 13 A. Yes, I did. 13 interrupted you were discussing the acute versus chronic 14 14 inflammatory reaction. Q. Are you willing to share that sheet --15 A. Correct. And the types of cells that you see in 15 A. Yes. 16 acute inflammation versus chronic inflammation. 16 Q. -- with me? 17 A. Yes, I am. 17 Q. And is it fair to say then that the first portion 18 MR. JONES: I'm going to mark the Summary of 18 under the heading "Inflammatory Response and Foreign Body 19 Opinion of Dr. Teri Longacre as Exhibit L-1. 19 Response with Implant" is a discussion of the acute versus 20 20 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-1 was marked for chronic inflammatory reaction in the cells and some of the 21 21 terminology that would be applicable in that area? identification.) 22 MR. JONES: Q. You have a copy of this in from 22 A. Correct. 23 23 of you, Doctor? Q. And then under letter B you have "Factors 24 A. Yes. Yes, I do. 24 impacting wound healing." 25 25 What do you intend to talk about related to Q. Let's go through this Summary of Opinion of Page 15 Page 17 Dr. Teri Longacre. 1 factors impacting wound healing? 1 2 2 What is the first opinion you intend to offer in A. Well, again, just in general things that can 3 3 affect how well a wound heals include obviously genetic this case? 4 A. I don't know that there's necessarily an order of 4 predisposition, but factors that can prevent wound healing 5 5 the opinions. As you see I've set forth at the Roman or impair it are lack of nutrition. You need amino acids 6 Numeral I just an itemized, I guess, list of what 6 to heal, protein. Smoking has been shown to impact 7 constitutes the inflammatory response and a foreign body 7 collagen formation. Diabetes. All of these may have 8 8 response that's associated with implant material, and this played a role in wound healing in Mrs. Perry. 9 9 really is just by way of making sure that we're all using There are other things that can impact wound 10 10 the same terminology. healing that I haven't listed. Steroid therapy, et 11 11 So the typical reaction is there may be an acute cetera. I don't think that that played a role. 12 inflammatory infiltrate, but generally it roughly -- or 12 Q. How about genetics? You mentioned genetics. Do 13 13 fairly soon shifts to a more chronic inflammatory you think that played a role in this case? 14 infiltrate. 14 A. It may or may not. 15 By acute, I mean neutrophils. By chronic, I'm 15 Q. Okay. 16 referring to other inflammatory cells. They're usually 16 A. Some people heal faster than others. It's 17 monocytes which can differentiate into macrophages, 17 variable, so one doesn't really know. 18 lymphocytes, mast cells, et cetera. 18 Q. Okay. How about diabetes? 19 19 Chronic inflammation, the term itself really A. Yes, diabetics can have impaired wound healing, 20 2.0 denotes a description of the cells, not necessarily and it's all about -- well, it's pretty complex, but it's 21 longstanding. It may be longstanding, but you know, we -21 in part due to vascular insufficiency. You need vascular 22 generally we think about chronic being a long-term 22 supplying oxygen to the tissue for adequate wound healing. And that's, again, sort of why smoking is a risk 23 23 process. 24 24 When you're talking about inflammatory cells, factor for poor wound healing because smoking releases

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

carbon monoxide and impairs oxygenation of tissue.

25

it's a specific type of cell. So I just wanted to make

Page 18 Page 20 1 So anything that impairs oxygenation of tissue 1 person, the more you smoke, one would assume the worst of 2 and delivery of nutrients will impair wound healing. 2 side effects, correct. 3 MR. JONES: Q. So if I understand this 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with whether or not 4 4 Ms. Perry smokes? correctly, there are patient-specific factors that would 5 alter the wound healing process on top of diabetes, 5 A. I don't know if she currently smokes, but there 6 6 smoking, asthma, allergies, and nutrition? was a record of it historically. 7 7 Q. So you don't know one way or the other whether MR. WES: Object to form. 8 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure what -- there she currently smokes cigarettes? 9 9 A. As of today, no, I don't know. But during her are other factors meaning? 10 10 surgery she was a smoker, and after her surgery for her MR. JONES: Q. Meaning -- here's what I'm 11 mesh she was. 11 getting at. The -- we talked earlier about Ms. Perry not 12 Q. How often did she smoke cigarettes? 12 being -- smoking a pack of cigarettes a day. 13 A. I don't recall. It varied depending on the 13 A. Correct. 14 14 medical record. It didn't sound like it was a pack a day. Q. And there's reference in the medical records that 15 15 Q. She's a very light smoker, right? she may have smoked less than a pack a day, correct? 16 MR. WES: Object to form. 16 A. Correct. 17 THE WITNESS: I think -- as a physician I don't 17 Q. And when I asked you whether the amount of 18 know that I'm going to answer that to a yes. I'm sorry. 18 cigarettes she smoked would have an impact on the wound 19 19 MR. JONES: Q. That's fine. healing, meaning the more cigarettes you smoke, it would 20 A. Any smoking is bad. So yes, she was not a pack a 20 have a greater impact on the wound healing versus the less 21 21 cigarettes you smoke having a smaller impact on the wound 22 22 healing, and your answer was, well, it's -- there's Q. Okay. And that was a relative term that I used. 23 A. Correct. 23 patient-dependent factors involved, too, correct? 2.4 Q. It probably wasn't the best way to ask the 24 A. Correct. 25 question, but you understand what I'm getting at, is that 25 Q. So without knowing -- I guess what I'm getting at Page 21 Page 19 the amount that you smoke has an impact on what we're what are those patient-dependent factors that you 1 2 talking about here, wound healing, correct? 2 discussed? 3 MR. WES: Object to form. 3 MR. WES: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: It may or may not. Again, it's 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I guess I'm still not --5 5 I don't know that we're communicating very well right now. individual. Individual response. So what may impact one 6 patient may not -- you know, may not impact another 6 MR. JONES: O. Okay. 7 7 patient at all. So a pack a day may be a huge impact on A. I was -- one individual that has vascular 8 8 one patient whereas another patient two or three insufficiency for whatever reason and smokes could have even if it's a couple of cigarettes, it may have a much 9 9 cigarettes. 10 10 larger impact than someone who does not have an underlying She has some history of asthma and some history of allergies, so she may actually be more impacted by her 11 11 vascular insufficiency. That's all -- that's the only 12 cigarette smoking than someone who doesn't have allergies 12 point I was trying to make. Nothing more than that. 13 13 or a history of episodic asthma. Q. Okay. Are you going to be giving an opinion in 14 So again, it's all genetic, so I can't really 14 this case that Ms. Perry's smoking behavior impacted her 15 fairly give an answer to that. 15 wound healing? 16 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. You said asthma and 16 A. It may have. 17 allergies may impact. Is that going to be an opinion 17 Q. It may have? 18 18 you're going to be offering in this case? A. Sure. Yeah. 19 19 A. To the extent that I just did, yes. Q. Are you reasonably certain that her smoking 20 impacted her wound healing? 2.0 Q. It doesn't make a difference if a person smokes 21 two cigarettes a day versus a pack a day for wound 21 MR. WES: Object to form. 22 22 THE WITNESS: I'm reasonably certain that it may healing? 23 MR. WES: Object to form. 23 well have. 24 MR. JONES: Q. It may well have. THE WITNESS: I suspect -- yes. Yes. We're not 24 25 comparing one person to another, but in an individual 25 A. Yes.

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 22 Page 24 Q. Will you be giving an opinion that Ms. Perry's 1 1 you can see, quote, chronic inflammation, closed quote, in 2 diet affected her wound healing? 2 normal tissue, and it doesn't necessarily imply anything 3 3 A. It may well have, yes. pathologic at all. And this is particularly true in areas 4 4 Q. It may have. of mucosa. 5 Well, let's move on to C. "Inflammation occurs 5 So in this particular example, vaginal mucosae, 6 with any surgery - even absent mesh." That's pretty 6 you would expect to see in normal vaginal mucosa a small 7 straightforward. 7 complement of what we refer to as chronic inflammatory 8 8 A. Yes, I think so. 9 9 Q. Okay. And your point there is that there's an Q. Fair to say chronic inflammation isn't 10 inflammatory reaction to the mesh that's used with a TVT 10 necessarily indicative of any unintended consequences of 11 Abbrevo device, correct? 11 the TVT Abbrevo implant? 12 12 A. Correct. MR. WES: Object to form. 13 Q. But that doesn't distinguish it from other 13 THE WITNESS: I think that's what I'm trying to 14 surgeries that may treat stress urinary incontinence? 14 say. I think that summarizes it, yes. 15 MR. WES: Object to form. 15 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Would it be incorrect to 16 MR. JONES: Q. Flush that out -- flush that out 16 say the inflammatory reaction to the mesh and the TVT 17 for me. 17 Abbrevo device is minimal? 18 A. So that's actually not the point. 18 A. In this case, yes. 19 19 Q. Yeah. Q. Would it be incorrect to say the inflammatory 20 A. So the point is just because you have 20 response to the mesh used in the TVT Abbrevo device is 21 inflammation doesn't necessarily mean it's associated with 21 22 22 the mesh. There is -- in fact, there is some inflammation MR. WES: Object to form. 23 associated with the mesh in the slides that -- of the mesh 23 THE WITNESS: It's partially correct and 2.4 that was removed from Mrs. Perry, but you can have other 24 partially incorrect. So when you put the device in --25 kinds of inflammation that aren't related to the mesh, and these implants, any foreign material in, there is an Page 25 Page 23 1 initial, quote, transitory, closed quote, inflammatory 1 that was the point. 2 Q. Okay. There's inflammation in the medical 2 response that often includes mast cells and probably some 3 3 neutrophils as well as the lymphocytes and the records, but it doesn't necessarily mean it's from the 4 4 mesh? macrophages. 5 5 A. Correct. Over time that acute process dissipates and what 6 O. Okav. 6 remains is a layer, if you will, a thin layer of chronic 7 A. Yes. 7 inflammatory cells, typically lymphocytes and macrophages 8 8 Q. We'll skip D and move on to E, "Chronic that sort of make a nice little layer around the foreign 9 9 Inflammation." Can you flush that out for us? material, walling it off from the normal tissue. 10 10 A. Definitely. So the first point is, is that if it So that does persist, but that acute sort of 11 11 is, in fact, part of the normal healing response, you initial response to the body, that is transitory. 12 12 MR. JONES: Q. You mentioned walling it off. Is would expect it to occur. It would be abnormal if there 13 13 wasn't some chronic inflammation in a healing wound. that, I guess, a plate, a scar plate, or is this 14 In fact -- well, that's all -- immunosuppressed 14 different? Are we talking about two different things? 15 individuals, part of the problem with their wound healing 15 A. We're talking about two different things. It's 16 is they don't have the inflammatory cells to mount that 16 not a scar plate. It's a layer of cells that's -- and 17 response. 17 there is often a very thin -- well, I won't say often. In 18 18 And you can see chronic inflammation after this case because -- you know, there may be instances 19 19 surgery, but you obviously can see it without surgery for where you don't see what I'm describing, but in this 2.0 a variety of other causes. I just wanted to be sure that 20 particular case and what you'd like to see is a very thin 21 that was clear. 21 layer -- a very thin layer of fibrosis -- fibrous tissue 22 22 associated with that chronic inflammation, and that's it. Q. Okay. 23 A. It is considered a normal and expected reaction 23 Not a thick layer. 24 to any implanted foreign material anywhere on the body. 24 Q. Is there always a chronic inflammatory reaction 25 And the other point I really wanted to emphasize, when the TVT Abbrevo mesh is implanted inside the body

Page 28 Page 26 1 A. There should -- I would -- I expect as a 1 absorbable mesh just as there would be to a permanent 2 pathologist anytime I see foreign material removed from 2 polypropylene mesh? 3 3 the body that has been there for more than a few hours to MR. WES: Object to form. have an inflammatory response. Absolutely. Every single 4 THE WITNESS: Well, there is a -- so there is a 4 5 time. 5 foreign body reaction to absorbable material as well as 6 Q. Same for chronic foreign body response? 6 nonabsorbable material. Whether that response lasts 7 A. That's exactly what I'm talking about, yes. 7 decades after that suture's been completely absorbed, I 8 8 Q. Same thing -can't -- I really don't know that. 9 A. I would expect to see some kind of chronic 9 But in my practice I have seen where, you know, 10 response. It would be -- in fact, if I don't see it, it 10 there's -- there's a persistence of that foreign body 11 tells me that that foreign material has been very, very 11 reaction. I don't see any suture anymore. Now, maybe i 12 recently placed. 12 you do sophisticated studies, you could find little 13 Q. Did you happen to review any of the advertising 13 particles, I don't know, but you can see the residual sort 14 Ethicon uses for the TVT devices in this case? 14 of response even though the suture's gone. 15 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. 15 Q. Will you be offering an opinion in this case that 16 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't specifically recall 16 there is a difference in the foreign body response between 17 reviewing advertising, but I may have read some inserts. 17 an absorbable mesh and a nonabsorbable mesh? 18 MR. JONES: Q. So you don't have any recall of 18 A. No, I will not. 19 Ethicon in their marketing materials for the TVT devices 19 Q. Okay. 20 stating there would be no chronic foreign body response to 20 A. The only point is that anytime any foreign 21 21 material gets introduced in the body, there will be a 22 22 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. response. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't --23 Q. Okay. 2.4 MR. WES: Misstates --2.4 A. And it may even last after the material's been 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall reading that 25 removed. Page 29 Page 27 1 Q. Topic G. Have we covered that topic in some of 1 there would not be one, no. 2 MR. JONES: Q. Let's move on to F, "Foreign body 2 our discussions already, or is there anything additional 3 reaction expected with the implant." 3 that you'd like to share about topic G? 4 Can you flush that one out? 4 A. The only other point, again, is about 5 5 A. That's just, again, emphasizing basically what we terminology. 6 just discussed, that I would expect to see some sort of 6 Q. Okay. 7 reaction always to any foreign material. And in fact, 7 A. Pathologists try to be very specific in the terms 8 8 sometimes normal tissue gets in the wrong spot in the that they use, and I just wanted to emphasize that 9 body, and you would expect to see a foreign body reaction 9 fibrosis is different from dense scarring, and that's 10 10 to that as well. An ingrown hair follicle, you know, if different from fibroconnective tissue. They really mean 11 the hair shaft or keratin gets embedded in the connective 11 somewhat different things. 12 tissue of the dermis will incite a foreign body reaction. 12 Q. Scarring, scarification, scar plate is different 13 And that's all self tissue, but it's in the wrong spot. 13 than fibrosis? 14 So anything that's occurring in the wrong spot 14 MR. WES: Object to form. 15 should elicit some reaction. 15 THE WITNESS: Generally, yes. It really -- I 16 Q. You talk about foreign body reaction remaining 16 mean, obviously part of that dense -- fibrosis is part of 17 after an absorbable suture has been absorbed. 17 that dense scarring, but there are degrees of fibrosis 18 A. Yes. I've even seen that, yes. 18 quite honestly. 19 19 Q. Okay. So if someone were to make the argument MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 2.0 that using a partially absorbable implant in a TVT Abbrevo 20 A. And fibroconnective tissue is normal tissue. 21 device would not cause a chronic foreign body response --21 Q. Okay. There's nothing abnormal about fibrosis 22 A. No. 22 occurring after a transvaginal mesh surgery? 23 O. -- that would be incorrect? 23 A. There is nothing -- you would expect fibrosis 24 24 after a wound. So if there's been surgery, yes. That's A. That would be incorrect, yeah. 25 Q. There's a chronic foreign body response to an not abnormal. That's part of the healing process,

Page 32 Page 30 1 1 A. So if you see adipose tissue in the hernia repair correct. 2 Q. Topic H, "Risk of infection following surgery is 2 and you don't see it in the vaginal mesh, that doesn't 3 3 well known." mean there's anything wrong. It means there's no adipose 4 tissue there to begin with. Just to make that clear. 4 A. Correct. 5 5 O. That is what it is? That's all I meant. 6 6 A. Yes. Q. Sure. I appreciate that. We'll move on to topic 7 O. We don't need to flush it out? 7 III. "No gross findings because nothing but slides to 8 8 review." A. Yeah, nothing else. 9 Q. Okay. Moving to heading Roman Numeral II, 9 What do you mean by that? 10 10 "Tissue of the Vaginal Wall." You talk about the four A. Well, when we talk about gross findings in 11 layers of tissue in the vaginal wall, correct? 11 pathology, we're talking about the tissue that comes in 12 A. Correct. 12 through the OR. So in this case it would have been that 13 Q. Can you explain those terms and why it's 13 mesh material that was removed during the mesh removal or 14 14 important that you have included the four layers of the the mucosal tissue that was removed at the tying of the 15 15 tissue of vaginal wall in your summary of opinions? mesh placement, and that I don't -- that was already done 16 16 A. Well, I think it's important to realize that as by a different pathologist. So my gross finding is really 17 we -- as you suggested earlier in one of your earlier 17 just the slides. That's all that means. 18 18 Q. You talk about the slides you reviewed. questions that perhaps vaginal tissue is different from stomach tissue. This was just to emphasize that vaginal 19 19 A. Yes. 20 tissue is a mucosal tissue, so there's a mucosal layer 20 Q. What slides did you review? 21 that's different from skin. It's certainly different from 21 A. They were recut slides from blocks that were made 22 22 from the initial procedure when the mesh was placed and stomach, different from hernia repairs, that kind of 23 thing. 23 when the tissue -- the mesh was removed. So two different 2.4 And then just to emphasize that there's the 24 surgical procedures. 25 mucosal layer, and then beneath that what's referred to as 25 Q. Were there any conclusions or findings noted on Page 33 Page 31 those pathology records? 1 submucosa, and there's a muscle layer and then the outer 2 adventitia. There's really no adipose tissue present in 2 A. Do you mean the pathology reports? 3 3 Q. (Nods head.) the vaginal tissues. 4 4 A. Yes. There were pathology diagnoses on both of Q. Why is that important to note? 5 5 A. Well, I think sometimes people extrapolate them, yes. 6 findings from mesh in one organ site to another organ 6 O. Do you recall what those diagnoses were? 7 site. Again, that was one of the questions you asked. 7 A. Yes, I have them in front of me. 8 MR. JONES: Okay. Why don't we go ahead and mark 8 And although in many respects, I think that it's a similar 9 those very quickly. We'll mark as exhibit L-2 Bakersfield 9 response. You would not expect it to be completely 10 10 Pathology Medical Group Pathology Report dated 3-25-2011 identical if you're putting it in a different kind of 11 11 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-2 was marked for tissue. 12 12 identification.) So if you see adipose tissue associated with mesh MR. JONES: We'll mark as Exhibit L-3 Bakersfield 13 material in a ventral hernia, that would be expected, but 13 14 you would not expect to see adipose tissue in a vaginal 14 Memorial Hospital Pathology Report with the date of 15 tissue that contained a mesh material. 15 January 18th, 2012. 16 16 Does that make sense? (Whereupon, Exhibit L-3 was marked for 17 Q. Yes. 17 identification.) 18 A. And that would not be -- I mean, it would be 18 MR. JONES: Q. What was the diagnosis in Exhibit 19 19 abnormal to suddenly start seeing adipose tissue. L-2? 20 2.0 Q. It sounds like you're saying there's a A. The pathology report diagnosis reads: 21 distinction between hernia repair, mesh and transvaginal 21 "Vaginal wall, comma, posterior, comma, excision. 22 22 "Hyperplastic squamous mucosa with patchy 23 A. No. I don't know necessarily about the mesh, but 23 submucosal mild chronic inflammation and prominent 24 24 the surrounding tissue. vascular congestion. 25 Q. Okay. 25 "There is no evidence of viral cellular changes,

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Page 36 Page 34 1 1 comma, dysplasia or malignancy, period. MR. WES: Object to form. 2 "All margins of excision are free of lesions and 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can try to do that. So 3 3 are viable." looking at the slides, there were -- first of all, all the 4 4 Q. Do you have an opinion as to that diagnosis? tissue that was submitted to the pathologist was actually 5 5 A. Yes, I do. submitted for a histological examination. Sometimes we 6 6 Q. What is that opinion? just do representative submission, but all of it -- all 7 7 was submitted. I just wanted to be sure that I was A. Well, I agree that there is, in fact, squamous 8 8 mucosa present and that it does, in fact, show patchy correct. 9 9 submucosal chronic inflammation. There's also some Of that tissue -- of those tissue fragments, I 10 vascular congestion, which I interpret as likely 10 actually have the numbers here now I noticed. Eight were 11 procedural during the -- i.e., it was introduced during 11 from the vagina, and those vaginal fragments show change 12 the surgical procedure. 12 that are consistent with prolapse, which is bulging of the 13 There is some edema, and there was also some 13 vagina usually distally, which is part of the reason 14 focal parakeratosis, which you often see in prolapsed 14 why -- indirectly part of the reason she was having 15 15 squamous tissue. urinary incontinence. 16 16 Q. Okay. In addition, there were multiple fragments of 17 A. There were also fragments of hair bearing skin 17 skin that was removed along the region of the opening of 18 18 the vagina. And in fact, there were more fragments of from the perineum in addition to the fragments of vaginal 19 mucosa. And, in fact, I think the predominant tissue was 19 that than the vaginal tissue. There were 13 fragments of 20 actually perineal tissue, it wasn't vaginal tissue. 20 those. 21 I think we counted, I don't know, 18 or 19 21 MR. JONES: Q. And other than your comment about 22 22 it being incomplete, you don't have any substantive fragments of tissue total, and I believe 13 of them were 23 the perineum, and it was -- the minor component was 23 disagreements? 2.4 actually squamous mucosal tissue. 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. What does that indicate to you as a pathologist? 25 Q. Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit L-3, which is the Page 35 Page 37 A. It indicates there was a significant amount of 1 1 path report related to the explant surgery, right? 2 that perineal tissue that was removed during that 2 A. Correct. 3 procedure. 3 Q. What were the find -- final diagnosis in this 4 Q. Do you disagree with the diagnosis in this 4 pathology report related to the explant surgery? 5 5 pathology report? A. "One irregularly shaped portion of mesh-like 6 A. I agree with the diagnosis. I just think it's 6 material with surrounding portions of fibroconnective and 7 incomplete because they didn't note that there was a fair 7 focally non-keratinized squamous epithelial, back slash, 8 8 amount of skin there as well, but other than that, there's mucosal tissue with mild chronic inflammation, back slash, 9 9 no substantive disagreement at all. fibrosis with no dysplasia or malignancy identified." 10 10 Q. Okay. And if someone sitting on the jury asks Q. Do you have any substantive disagreement with 11 for the most plain English way to communicate the findings 11 that diagnosis? 12 of this pathology report, what would your testimony be? 12 A. No, I don't. I would add, if that's all right, 13 13 A. That there was -- let's stop. Ask the question that the specimen -- the tissue's really fragmented and 14 14 the mesh material is really fragmented from the again. 15 Q. Here's what I'm getting at. These are some 15 processing. And so it's -- this particular pathologist 16 complicated concepts, terms that people aren't commonly 16 didn't make a lot of comments about the mesh, and that's 17 familiar with, right? 17 largely because it's -- it's a distorted specimen from 18 A. Correct. 18 processing. 19 19 Q. Very specific to the pathology field? Q. What processing are you speaking about? 2.0 A. Correct. 2.0 A. The -- I think the removal and then the 21 Q. So if someone's sitting in the juror box and a 21 sectioning, the tissue sectioning. I think the way it was 22 22 juror says, "I don't understand anything that you just embedded and then the knife cut. It likely -- a 23 23 combination of those factors. There is -- in addition, said," could you break it down in language that someone 24 who is not a pathologist could understand? That's what 24 there was an area of mucosal disruption if -- I think

that's the best term to use.

25

I'm getting at.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

24

Page 38

And in association with that area of mucosal
disruption, there was more inflammation than was evidenced
around that actual mesh material. And there was some
organizing fibrosis, which I interpret as a non-healing

- wound. And this was associated, of course, with the
 mucosal aspect, not the underlying mesh material, which
- 7 was in the submucosal tissue.
- 8 Q. Okay. So there's some healing issues involved 9 here?
- 10 A. Yes. In this mucosal wound, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you talked earlier about smoking and diet and diabetes being related to healing, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Will you be offering an opinion in this case that there was impaired wound healing from Ms. Perry and the cause of that impaired wound healing was her diet and her smoking behavior?
- MR. WES: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: My opinion is that there is a
non-healing wound, and it appears chronic. And there are
a variety of factors that may contribute to non-healing
wounds, and some of these factors are smoking, you know,
diabetes. I mean, alcohol intake, I don't know that she's
a big drinker, but I mean there's a whole lot of factors

that could play into non healing, impaired vascular

Page 39

1 supply, et cetera.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

18

MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Have we covered all of the aspects of both pathology reports related to the opinions that you intend to offer in this case?

A. Well, there's a -- essentially we have, yes.

Other than this non-healing wound and the thin layer of lymphocytes and macrophages around the mesh material,

8 which you would expect to see, there really were very few9 giant cells.

grant cens.There

There really wasn't a significant multinucleated sort of -- multinucleated giant cell reaction, foreign body giant cell reaction. There's nothing concerning about the response of the tissue to the mesh material.

14 Q. Okay.

A. There is normal vascularization of the tissue.

There's no significant acute inflammation, and by that I
 imply there's no evidence of infection because infection

would be one reason to have a non-healing wound, of

19 course.

There were also no large nerve fibers. I
received several unstained slides. And I did an S-100
stain on one of the unstained slides, and there were small
little nerve twigs, none of which were abnormal in
configuration. They were in the appropriate distribution

of the submucosal tissue.

Page 40

There was no evidence of a traumatic neuroma. There was certainly no large nerve fiber.

Q. What does that mean?

A. So part of the reason she was having the mesh removal is pain. Certainly part of it was the husband, but she also had some pain. And although we often don't see an obvious cause of pain when we examine histologic tissue removed from patients with pain, sometimes we do see the cause of it, and one of them would be a large nerve sitting right next to a foreign body. You would assume -- or you would presume that that was probably impinging on that nerve.

Q. Okay. Impinging or entrapped or --

A. Or just anything. Just pushing on it will cause pain, but there were no large nerves there.

Q. Okay.

A. And then the only other thing is there was no
 real necrosis. Again, necrotic tissue will not heal, but
 I didn't see any necrosis.

Q. Okay. You said that one of the reasons why Ms. Perry had the mesh removed was because of pain, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And you said often you won't see factors that would indicate pain in the histology, correct?

Page 41

MR. WES: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I think what I was trying to convey is that -- let's see. Clinical and histologic correlation or clinical pathologic correlation is not always perfect in cases of pain, first of all. We may not see any good cause of pain, and the patient has pain.

We may also see what we interpret as a cause of pain, i.e., a large nerve, and maybe the patient never had pain. You call up and you say, well, oh, that's interesting, the patient never complained about it.

So that correlation is not perfect. That doesn't mean we still don't try. That's the only point I was making

MR. JONES: Q. Sure. What does cause pain? MR. WES: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: That's a very complicated question
 MR. JONES: Q. Do we know what causes pain?

MR. WES: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, at some level we know that
there are sensory nerves that if they are injured or sense
obnoxious stimuli, we perceive pain. That's a simple way
of talking about it, and I don't know that we want to go
in much more depth.

MR. JONES: Q. No.

A. And that's really not in my area of what I want

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 42 Page 44 1 to be doing with my opinion. 1 foreign material that has not been associated with pain at 2 Q. Okay. 2 3 3 A. If that's okay with you. So I would not expect that to be associated with 4 pain, either. Not only do I not see anything, I would not Q. It is okay. Here Ms. Perry is complaining of 5 5 pain, correct? expect pain to be associated with this mesh --6 6 A. Correct. O. Okay. 7 7 A. -- based on all my experience and in this Q. And is there anything in the pathology records 8 8 that would indicate what the cause of her pain is? particular case as well. 9 A. Okay. So I'm not so certain about the actual 9 Q. What experience are you referencing? 10 pathology reports, but I do think the abundant material of 10 A. Looking at all kinds of foreign materials that 11 that perineal, the skin around the vaginal introitus, 11 have been removed from all different organs. 12 12 suggests that perhaps the result -- resulted in too much Q. What foreign materials? 13 narrowing of that opening, vaginal opening, and that will 13 A. Mesh as well as all kinds of medical devices. 14 14 certainly cause pain. Q. What type of mesh? A. Some of them would be propylene. I don't know 15 Q. Will you be giving an opinion in this case that 15 16 16 Ms. Perry's pain is caused by vaginal narrowing? all the meshes. 17 A. I think to a certain extent it is, yes. 17 Q. So you've --18 Q. Is the mesh causing Ms. Perry any pain? 18 A. Any foreign material basically. Q. So you've examined explanted polypropylene mesh 19 A. I see no histologic evidence for that, no. 19 Q. It doesn't mean that the mesh isn't causing her 20 20 from the vagina before? 21 pain, it just means you don't see anything in the 21 22 22 Q. And you've seen -- what have you seen when you've histology that would --23 MR. WES: Object to form. 23 examined explanted transvaginal mesh? 2.4 MR. JONES: Q. -- be indicative of mesh causing 24 A. Often findings very similar to this. In some 25 25 cases I've seen more inflammation. A couple of cases hav the pain? Page 43 Page 45 1 been removed for actually acute infection, and so you see 1 A. I think that's -- I think it's okay to say that, 2 yes. 2 it more in the way of acute inflammatory cells. 3 3 Q. How many times have you examined explanted Q. Okay. 4 A. There's nothing on the basis of what I see that I 4 transvaginal mesh? 5 5 would expect that that -- or attribute any of that pain to A. I would -- I'm estimating. I would say grossly 6 6 it would -- minimal would be probably a couple dozen and 7 7 Q. And as we discussed before, there's not a perfect then microscopically half a dozen. We don't do 8 8 microscopic examinations on all explanted mesh material. correlation there between what you see in the histological 9 9 records and how it relates to pain? Q. When did -- these 24 times, roughly, that you've 10 10 examined explanted transvaginal mesh, when did that occur? MR. WES: Object to form. 11 11 THE WITNESS: I think that's correct, yes. What time frame? 12 12 A. Oh, in the last -- as far as I can remember, I MR. JONES: Q. Sometimes you look at the 13 pathology and there's something there and you say, yep, 13 don't really know. 14 14 Q. Last 20 years? this is causing the pain, correct? 15 A. Oh, I see what you're asking. Yeah, on occasion 15 A. I've been practicing -- well, maybe not 20. Last 16 we do. I guess the point I was trying to make about the 16 ten. 17 mesh not -- there's no evidence for the mesh being the 17 Q. Okay. A. I mean, I don't remember that far. But it's just 18 etiologic -- the cause, if you will, of her pain is that 18 19 19 number one, I don't see anything in the slides. That's in my regular practice, not any kind of -- not in the 20 the first observation. 2.0 context of a legal case by any --21 And the second observation is that based on all 21 Q. That was going to be my next question. So in the 22 22 my experience looking at lots and lots of foreign course of your normal pathology practice, you've examined 23 23 roughly two dozen explanted transvaginal mesh? material, this looks -- the response, the normal tissue 24 response, to this mesh material looks similar if not -- or 24 A. I would say minimum. 25 if anything much milder than I have seen with other 25 Q. Minimum.

Page 46 Page 48 A. Yes. 1 1 That I can say, yes. 2 O. But --2 Q. Let me ask a better question. When Ethicon sells 3 3 A. Likely more. the TVT Abbrevo mesh, it's intended to be a permanent 4 Q. Likely more? implant? 5 A. But minimum, yes. 5 A. I believe so, yes. 6 6 Q. Can you give a range? MR. WES: Same objection. 7 A. Oh, no. 7 MR. JONES: Q. Let's get back to your opinion 8 8 Q. Ceiling floor? summary. And we already talked about your overall conclusions related to the pathology reports, correct? 9 A. Oh, I don't think more than -- I would say 9 10 probably not more than three dozen. 10 A. Yes, I think so. 11 Q. Okay. 11 Q. We've covered that. Are there any additional 12 A. But I mean, I could be wrong. 12 opinions related to the pathology slides that you reviewed 13 Q. When you've examined these explanted transvaginal 13 beyond the pathology report? 14 mesh implants, why were you doing that? 14 A. I don't think so. I'm not sure I'm understanding 15 15 A. Because they were submitted to pathology. your question. 16 Q. Okay. Do you know why the mesh was removed? 16 Q. Yeah, let me ask a better question. What 17 A. Sometimes we do, and sometimes we don't. 17 opinions will you be giving related to the pathology 18 Q. Okay. It's fair to say women don't have mesh 18 slides in this case? 19 19 A. My opinion is that there is mesh material removed unless there's some sort of complication that 20 presents itself that makes it appropriate to remove that 20 present, that it is lined by a very thin layer of 21 mesh, correct? 21 lymphocytes and macrophages with associated fibrous 2.2 MR. WES: Object to form, assumes facts not in 22 tissue, which is normal and expected. 23 evidence. 23 There is no other abnormality or concerning 24 THE WITNESS: It -- typically you would expect 2.4 finding associated with the mesh itself. However, there 25 that, but I don't know that that was always the case in 25 is a mucosal non-healing wound that is not attributed to Page 49 Page 47 1 the device itself but I think is likely a complication 1 some of the cases that I reviewed. 2 MR. JONES: Q. But it's fair to say women have 2 from the surgery on the prior incision. 3 3 Q. In jumping ahead to page 3, number III, "Overall, mesh removed because of complications, right? 4 MR. WES: Same objection. 4 chronic inflammation is mild with focal area of more 5 5 THE WITNESS: I -- I'm not sure I feel moderate inflammation at the site..." 6 comfortable saying yes to that because, as I say, there 6 What do you mean by that? 7 was a fair number that we get the mesh in, and there's no 7 A. Again, there's a very minimal chronic 8 8 clinical history. So I don't -- when I get a clinical inflammation in the submucosal tissue, and it's comparable 9 9 history of a complication, yes, absolutely, that's why in amount to the presence of the chronic inflammation tha 10 10 they're removing it. was present in her vaginal tissue at the time of the mesh 11 11 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. insertion. 12 A. Otherwise, I don't necessarily know why. 12 However, at that area of the mucosal disruption, 13 Q. When Ethicon sells the TVT Abbrevo mesh, it 13 there's more significant, more moderate -- it's a more 14 doesn't intend for that mesh to be removed, correct? 14 striking and chronic inflammatory process, which is in 15 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope of 15 keeping with this chronic non-healing wound. 16 her opinions. 16 Q. Let's move to 14. "No evidence of shrinkage of 17 THE WITNESS: It really is outside my scope. 17 the mesh in vivo." 18 MR. JONES: Q. You don't know one way or the 18 What do you mean by that? 19 19 other whether --A. I was asked was there evidence of shrinking, and 2.0 A. It's not --2.0 first of all, I mean, I don't know how you'd know that 21 Q. -- mesh is removed? 21 other than obviously a huge retraction, which there's not. 22 22 A. -- implanted as a temporary. My understanding it But there's no dense scarring or fibrosis that would lead 23 is not considered -- you know, this is -- you know, like 23 you to think that it's been compressed. 24 birth control implants they take out after three years. 24 And then I just wanted to emphasize that if 25 That's not what the intended life span of these mesh is. people were going to try and extrapolate the measurements

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Page 50 Page 52 1 of the tissue that was removed and make a comparison to 1 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving an opinion in 2 what normal mesh should be measuring, that that's a bit 2 this case that the mesh does not shrink? 3 3 inaccurate would be the polite way to say that. A. No. MR. WES: Object to form. 4 4 As soon as you remove anything from the body, it 5 retracts. Any kind of tissue retracts. And then once 5 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Your opinion is I've 6 6 it's in formalin, it retracts even more. Formalin looked at the pathology records, I don't see anything 7 7 indicative of mesh shrinkage? fixate -- fixation. And measurements in a gross are 8 8 rough. They're using quick millimeters. They're not A. Yes. If you mean the slides and the pathology in 9 9 doing exact measurements. So that's the only point I the pathology reports, absolutely, yes. 10 wanted to make. Surgeons are well aware of that. 10 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any medical literature 11 Q. Have you reviewed any testing by Ethicon related 11 related to shrinkage of mesh in vivo? 12 to shrinking mesh? 12 A. Yes, I believe I have. 13 A. No. 13 Q. What literature would that be? 14 14 Q. Okay. A. I don't recall it off the top of my head, but I'm 15 15 A. Not that I recall. sure it's on that device that they -- the USB device that 16 16 Q. So you don't know one way or the other if the would involve all the materials they provided me. 17 testing that you just described is inaccurate, whether 17 Q. So we can take that thumb drive that you and 18 Ethicon's run that actual testing or not? 18 counsel have provided, look at the medical literature 19 19 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. there and find articles related to mesh shrinkage that you 20 MR. JONES: Q. Meaning the gross measurements 20 have read and reviewed? 21 of look at the size pre-implant, look at the size 21 A. That I have reviewed. 22 22 post-implant, there's a difference, ah-ha, there must be Q. Okay. 23 shrinkage? That's what you were referring to as 23 A. There's levels of review, yes. 2.4 inadequate or inaccurate, right? 2.4 Q. Okay. What levels of review? 25 A. Correct. 25 A. Well, again, this is not exactly in my area of Page 51 Page 53 1 expertise -- or at least it's not in the area of where I'm MR. WES: Same objection. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm not sure about -- no, I 2 forming my opinions. So I didn't focus my attention 3 3 chiefly on that. I focused my attention on the pathology. don't know that an Ethicon study has done that. 4 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 4 Q. Okay. And then the final entry on the summary of 5 5 A. That I'm aware of, no. opinion under number 16. Can you explain what you mean 6 Q. Are you aware of any testimony from Ethicon 6 7 doctors, medical directors or engineers or internal 7 A. Certainly I think I may have mentioned this 8 8 Ethicon documents that state the mesh used in the TVT already. Number 16 basically reads: Other than a 9 9 shrinks up to 50 percent? non-healing wound, which is present in the region of the 10 10 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. vaginal mucosa and not attributable to the device or to 11 THE WITNESS: It really is not part of my 11 the mesh itself, there is really no significant tissue 12 12 reaction to that mesh other than the thin layer of opinion. 13 13 MR. JONES: Q. So that doesn't matter to you lymphocytes and macrophages that's expected. There's no 14 either way whether Ethicon itself says mesh shrinks? 14 dense fibrosis. MR. WES: Same objections. Also, argumentative. 15 15 All those things that I said were not there are 16 MR. JONES: Q. Let me restate the question. 16 not there, and I think that that wound is likely a 17 Does it matter to you in forming your opinions in this 17 complication from the surgical procedure. The incision 18 case that Ethicon employees, including medical directors 18 may have healed initially but must have broke down. 19 19 and engineers, have stated that the mesh used in the TVT 'Cause it really does look like it's a chronic non-healing 20 20 Abbrevo device shrinks up to 50 percent? 21 MR. WES: Same objections. 21 Q. Any additional opinions you intend to offer in 22 22 this case that we haven't discussed and are not included THE WITNESS: In the formation of my opinion, 23 23 on this summary of opinion sheet? looking at the slides, it has no relevance, yes. Those 24 24 things may all be true, but that's not part of my opinion A. No.

Q. We've covered it all?

25

and --

1	Page 54		Page 56
1	A. I believe we have.	1	Q. Did you review every single item on this thumb
2	Q. Okay.	2	drive? First off let me backtrack.
3	A. Either we have in this deposition or it's on this	3	Do you know what's on this thumb drive?
4	sheet, yes.	4	A. My understanding is it's everything that's been
5	Q. Okay. And excuse me. Just in an attempt to	5	sent to me.
6	try to break it down, there's some healing issues involved	6	Q. Did you review everything that was sent to you?
7	in this case, correct, in your opinion?	7	A. No, I have not reviewed everything. There's been
8	A. Yes.	8	a number of things that have been sent in the last few
9	Q. Impaired healing, correct?	9	days that I've not reviewed.
10	A. Correct.	10	Q. What's been sent in the last few days?
11	Q. And it's your opinion the mesh is not the cause	11	A. I'm not even sure what they are. There was
12	of Ms. Perry's pain, correct?	12	something that came last night. I have not opened it
13	MR. WES: Object to form. It assumes facts.	13	so
14	THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that it's highly	14	Q. Okay.
15	unlikely that the mesh is what's causing her pain. I	15	A. Some of it may be depositions
16	think that's true based on what I've seen and reviewed. I	16	Q. Hot off the press deposition
17	think it's for more likely attributed to the colporrhaphy	17	A that I'm really not aware.
18	procedure.	18	Q transcripts perhaps.
19	MR. JONES: Q. Why do you say that?	19	A. Well, no, it's not always that. There's
20	A. For the reasons that I mentioned earlier. In	20	something
21	other words, the removal of all that skin tissue around	21	Q. Go ahead.
22	the vaginal opening causing that opening to be narrower	22	A the other day that wasn't
23	and tighter.	23	THE REPORTER: Okay. I couldn't get you both
24	Q. Okay. Let's move on to what you're relying on	24	talking at the same time.
25	for the opinions that you've discussed.	25	Go ahead.
	Page 55		Page 57
1	Counsel, did you bring with you some materials	1	MR. JONES: Q. How can I make a determination of
2	today that include her reliance materials	2	what on this thumb drive you reviewed and what you haven
3	MR. WES: Yes.	3	reviewed?
4	MR. JONES: that we can mark for the record?	4	A. I don't know.
5	MR. WES: They are on this flash drive.	5	Q. Okay. I mean, you understand
5 6	MR. WES: They are on this flash drive. MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark		Q. Okay. I mean, you understand A. I know.
	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll		A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what
6	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and marl	. 6	A. I know.
6 7	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll	6 7 8 9	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my
6 7 8	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.)	6 7 8	 A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case
6 7 8 9	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off,	6 7 8 9 10	 A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides.
6 7 8 9 10	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.)	6 7 8 9	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were
6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No.	6 7 8 9 10	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes.	1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put	6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put all your reliance materials on this thumb drive?	6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using. Q. That's an easy one.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put all your reliance materials on this thumb drive? A. Yes.	6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using. Q. That's an easy one. A. Right.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put all your reliance materials on this thumb drive? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if there's a mistake, it's their fault,	1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using. Q. That's an easy one. A. Right. Q. Pathology slides
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put all your reliance materials on this thumb drive? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if there's a mistake, it's their fault, right?	1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using. Q. That's an easy one. A. Right. Q. Pathology slides A. Exactly.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put all your reliance materials on this thumb drive? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if there's a mistake, it's their fault, right? A. Their mis	1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using. Q. That's an easy one. A. Right. Q. Pathology slides A. Exactly. Q the pathology reports?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive first off, Doctor, did you create this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Counsel created this for you? A. Yes. Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive? A. No. Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put all your reliance materials on this thumb drive? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if there's a mistake, it's their fault, right?	1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I know. Q I've got to know what you're relying on, what you reviewed, right? A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case are really based on pathology, my review of the slides. I'm really not opining on many of those things that were submitted to me. Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record and all those issues that have been associated with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using. Q. That's an easy one. A. Right. Q. Pathology slides A. Exactly.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	Page 58		Page 60
1	A. Of course, yes.	1	looked at is on this drive. We just need to tell you
2	Q Ms. Perry's deposition and Mr. Perry's	2	basically what
3	deposition?	3	MR. JONES: Yeah.
4	A. Yes.	4	MR. WES: is on this drive that that she
5	Q. Earlier I asked you did you review medical	5	MR. JONES: There's the road.
6	literature related to mesh shrinkage, and you answered	6	MR. WES: didn't necessarily look at.
7	yes, right?	7	MR. JONES: There's the road. At the moment I
8	A. I think I have, yes.	8	have no way
9	Q. And then I asked you what literature that was,	9	MR. WES: So we will narrow that down for you.
10	and you couldn't recall.	10	Whether it makes more sense to produce another one of
11	A. Correct.	11	these probably it makes more sense for us to just tell
12		12	
	Q. And then I said I'll be able to go to this thumb		you, you know, here's the items on the drive.
13	drive and look at the literature related to mesh shrinkage	13	MR. JONES: Okay. And you'll endeavor to do
14	that you reviewed?	14	that?
15	A. Correct.	15	MR. WES: We will do that.
16	Q. But you didn't review everything on this thumb	16	MR. JONES: Okay. I appreciate that.
17	drive, right?	17	Q. So it sounds like once we get a list of materials
18	MR. WES: And Counsel, I can just stipulate that	18	that are on this thumb drive that you actually reviewed
19	we'll let you know what materials were added that I guess	19	then we can look at that list and decipher here's medical
20	she just got in the last couple of days that you haven't	20	literature that you actually reviewed, correct?
21	got a chance to review. And we can narrow down for you		A. Yes.
22	anything that that she hasn't reviewed as of today's	22	Q. Okay. But at the moment everything on this thumb
23	date in preparation of her opinions.	23	drive you didn't review?
24	MR. JONES: I'm not so much worried about what's	24	A. I don't know that I have.
25	been submitted to her the last couple of days. I more	25	Q. Okay.
	Page 59		Page 61
1	want to get the universe of what she reviewed	1	A. I may have. I don't know.
2	MR. WES: Sure.	2	Q. There's just no way to tell?
3	MR. JONES: versus, you know, all the stuff	3	A. I just know that they've sent things that I have
4	that you sent out to her.	4	not reviewed, and I assume they're on that drive.
5	MR. WES: Yes.	5	Q. Okay. We touched on this earlier, but I need to
6	MR. JONES: Can you endeavor to produce a list or	6	go back to it.
7	a thumb drive with materials that she actually looked at	7	Internal Ethicon documents, did you review any?
8	so I can know what she's relying on for her opinions?	8	A. I may have, and if I did, they will be on that
9	MR. WES: Yeah. We can narrow down you know,		disc.
10	if there's anything that goes	10	Q. Okay. But at the moment there may be Ethicon
11	MR. SNOWDEN: Those are two different questions.	11	documents on this thumb drive that you didn't review?
12	MR. JONES: Yeah, you can answer them both if you	12	A. I don't think so. I just don't recall.
13	want.	13	Q. Okay.
14	MR. WES: Right. And so we'll I mean, we will	14	A. I don't think so.
15	give you the entire universe of what she's reviewed and	15	Q. Okay.
16	what are how are the questions what's your second	16	A. If I have them. If I I think I've seen some.
17	question?	17	Again, I'm not sure what I don't want to sound
18	-	18	ignorant, but I'm not really sure what you're asking me
19	MR. JONES: It's almost an either/or question.		•
	MR. WES: Okay.	19	when you say "internal documents," quite honestly.
20	MR. JONES: I either need a list of what she	20	Q. Testing that Ethicon ran.
21	actually did look at	21	A. I think I've seen some of that, yes.
22	MR. WES: Right.	22	Q. You think you have?
23	MR. JONES: or a thumb drive of what she	23	A. I think so, yeah.
24	actually looked at.	24	Q. Okay. Ethicon e-mails?
25	MR. WES: Right. And so everything that she	25	A. No. I've not seen any e-mails that I'm aware of.

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Page 62 Page 64 1 Q. Have not reviewed a single e-mail? 1 THE WITNESS: I've reviewed literature addressing 2 A. I don't think so, no. 2 shrinkage of mesh ex vivo, invitro, not in the body. I 3 3 Q. Do you have any recall of what type of testing don't know that I've read about any shrinkage in the body 4 That's why I brought it back to pathology. 4 documents from Ethicon you reviewed? 5 A. Not at this point. No, not at this time. 5 O. Okay. 6 6 Q. Okay. And no recall of the specific medical A. But other than that I don't remember or recall 7 literature that you reviewed? 7 any specific literature, but I know that there's 8 8 A. Not specific. I read a lot of long-term discussion about --9 follow-up studies of mesh material. I've reviewed medical 9 Q. Are you familiar with any pathology articles by 10 literature related to colporrhapy procedures, medical 10 Vladimir Iakolov (phonetic)? 11 literature concerning indications for performing these 11 A. How do you spell that? 12 surgeries, some of the urologic society's statements about 12 Q. I don't know. Does it ring a bell, though, at 13 recommendations for these procedures. Those are the kinds 13 all? 14 A. I don't -- well, I don't know. I don't think so. 14 of things that I reviewed. 15 Q. Okay. Do you recall reviewing any literature 15 O. Okay. 16 that would be contrary to the opinions you're giving in A. But I might. If you spelled it, maybe I would 16 17 this case? 17 know who it was. Is it with a Y? 18 MR. WES: Object to form. 18 Q. It's with an I. I'll take a guess and say it's THE WITNESS: No, I don't know of any literature 19 19 I-A-K-O-L --20 that would be -- that would be contrary to what I'm -- to 20 A. I don't know. 21 my pathology findings. None, no. 21 O. -- O-V. 22 MR. JONES: Q. What about to your any findings 22 A. It's possible. It will be on there. 23 beyond your pathology findings? 23 Q. Did you review plaintiff's independent medical 24 MR. WES: Object to form. 24 examination? 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what you're 25 A. Who was that? Page 65 Page 63 1 Q. I think Dr. Margolis. 1 asking. 2 MR. JONES: Q. Well --2 A. I reviewed his -- not his deposition, no. I read 3 3 parts of it, but I don't think I've received that yet. A. I'm sure there's something you're asking me, but 4 4 Q. Okay. I don't know what it is. 5 5 Q. You limited it to your pathology findings, which A. Or maybe that's what I received. I've not read 6 makes me wonder are there other findings that you're 6 it. If I have received it, I have not read it 7 speaking about? 7 MR. WES: And listen carefully to what he asked. 8 8 A. Oh, no. No, I think that -- well, I mean, let's He asked if you reviewed her -- his independent medical 9 9 just cut to the chase. You are talking about some studies examination, not his deposition specifically. 10 10 that have shown shrinkage of the mesh, and I see no THE WITNESS: Oh. What's his independent medical 11 11 evidence of shrinkage. So in vivo I don't see -- once examination? Oh. Oh, I don't know that I've -- I may 12 12 it's in the body, the issue of shrinkage appears to me have. He took some photographs. I did see those. 13 based on my readings and what I've seen on the slides a 13 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 14 14 moot issue. Not significant. A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Okay. So it sounds like you have reviewed There may well be, and I have seen at least some 16 of these studies that talk about shrinkage. Whether that 16 plaintiff's independent medical examination --17 has any relevance clinically in this case and in this 17 A. I may have. 18 particular patient or in general at this site, I'm not --18 Q. -- by Dr. Margolis? 19 I don't necessarily see that it transfers over. So that 19 A. I may have. I've seen the pictures anyway. 2.0 is my opinion. 2.0 Q. And you reviewed statements from professional 21 Q. Okay. 21 societies like AUGS? 22 22 A. Is this A-U-G-S that you're talking about? Yes. A. But that's it. 23 Q. So you have reviewed literature that concludes 23 24 that the mesh shrinks? 24 Counsel provided those statements to you? 25 MR. WES: Object to form. 25 A. Yes.

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

	Page 66		Page 68
1	Q. Did you do an independent literature search?	1	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the law firm Butler
2	A. No.	2	Snow?
3	Q. All the literature counsel provided to you that	3	A. Yes.
4	you've reviewed?	4	Q. Okay. Have you worked with the law firm Butler
5	A. What is the question?	5	Snow in the past beyond this particular case?
6	Q. Did you review any literature beyond what counsel	6	A. I don't believe so, no.
7	provided to you?	7	Q. Do you know William Gage?
8	A. No.	8	A. No.
9	Q. So it's fair to say all of the literature that	9	Q. Do you know Burt Snell?
10	you've reviewed in forming your opinions in this case has	10	A. S-N-E-L-L. I'm aware of the name. I don't know
11	been provided by Ethicon's counsel?	11	if I have, actually.
12	MR. WES: Objection to form, misstates.	12	Q. Okay.
13	THE WITNESS: Well, my opinion's also based on	13	A. I don't think I've met him, but whether I've
14	sort of, you know, my general pathology and GYN patholog	y 14	talked to him or not, I don't know.
15	background and training as well, but I didn't go out and	15	Q. And then the third law firm listed Bowman and
16	actively look for an article on transvaginal mesh.	16	Brooke, LLP. Are you familiar with that law firm?
17	MR. JONES: Q. Okay.	17	A. Actually, I don't think I am.
18	A. I may have requested articles from Mr. Snowden.	18	Q. Okay. So you haven't worked for them in the
19	Q. Do you have any recall of what those articles	19	past?
20	were?	20	A. No.
21	A. No. It would have been general not a specific	21	MR. JONES: Okay. I'll put those away. We'll
22	article, but just general topics, but no, I have not	22	mark as Exhibit L-7 an invoice related to this case.
23	pulled there's not anything that I've reviewed that's	23	Go ahead and hand this to you.
24	not on that disc.	24	(Whereupon, Exhibit L-7 was marked for
25	Q. Okay. Will you be testifying about any TVT	25	identification.)
	Page 67		Page 69
1	products other than Abbrevo?	1	MR. JONES: Q. What does Exhibit L-7 represent?
2	MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.	2	A. It's an invoice that my administrative assistant
3	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I'm really necessarily	3	submitted to I'm not really sure where she submitted
4	testifying about Abbrevo except in this particular	4	it, but she I think Johnson & Johnson ultimately, I
5	example.	5	think, foot the bill the invoice went to, but it says
6	MR. JONES: Okay.	6	Butler/Snowden and Ethicon Gynecare Pelvic Mesh, but
7	MR. WES: Are we doing okay? We've gone about a	ı 7	think it actually ended up going to Johnson & Johnson.
8	hour and a half. Do you want to take a break?	8	Q. And is that what you've billed for your time in
9	THE WITNESS: Well, if not now, soon.	9	this case so far?
10	MR. JONES: Let's take a break.	10	A. Yes, it is.
11	(Short break taken.)	11	Q. And is that the totality of your time thus far
12	MR. JONES: All right. We're back on the record	12	that you've spent on this case?
13	from a quick break.	13	A. No.
14	I want to mark for the record Exhibit L-5, which	14	Q. No?
15	is the deposition notice, Exhibit L-6, which are the	15	A. This is what I've billed.
			0 771 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
16	response and objections filed to the deposition notice.	16	Q. This is what you've billed?
		16 17	Q. This is what you've billed? A. Yes.
16	response and objections filed to the deposition notice.		
16 17	response and objections filed to the deposition notice. (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked	17	A. Yes.
16 17 18	response and objections filed to the deposition notice. (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked for identification.)	17 18	A. Yes.Q. Can you estimate beyond what's represented in
16 17 18 19	response and objections filed to the deposition notice. (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. If you look real quickly at	17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. Can you estimate beyond what's represented in Exhibit L-7 how many hours you've spent on this case?
16 17 18 19 20	response and objections filed to the deposition notice. (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. If you look real quickly at Exhibit L-6, on the top left there's some law firms	17 18 19 20	A. Yes.Q. Can you estimate beyond what's represented inExhibit L-7 how many hours you've spent on this case?A. Yes, I can estimate.
16 17 18 19 20 21	response and objections filed to the deposition notice. (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. If you look real quickly at Exhibit L-6, on the top left there's some law firms mentioned. Do you recognize the law firm of Tucker Ellis,	17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Can you estimate beyond what's represented in Exhibit L-7 how many hours you've spent on this case? A. Yes, I can estimate. Q. And what is that estimate?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	response and objections filed to the deposition notice. (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. If you look real quickly at Exhibit L-6, on the top left there's some law firms mentioned. Do you recognize the law firm of Tucker Ellis, Doctor?	17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Can you estimate beyond what's represented in Exhibit L-7 how many hours you've spent on this case? A. Yes, I can estimate. Q. And what is that estimate? A. Would you like that?

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

	Page 70		Page 72
1	60 hours review of literature.	1	A. It might be by day. I just don't I honestly
2	Q. You say 50 to 60?	2	do not remember.
3	A. That's an estimate. I could be off a little bit.	3	Q. Okay. That's common. In addition to the \$500 ar
4	My AA is keeping recent hours, but she didn't keep the	4	hour that you charge to work on this case, you're also
5	early hours. And so I'm having to go find my notes on	5	reimbursed for travel and other costs associated with this
6	those, and I haven't found them yet.	6	case?
7	Q. And what are you charging per hour?	7	A. Only for testimony.
8	A. \$500 an hour.	8	Q. Okay.
9	Q. So 24 hours with attorneys, an estimate?	9	A. There's no other yeah, only for testimony.
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. So \$500 an hour to review records and medical
11	Q. Fifty to 60 hours looking at medical literature,	11	literature, correct?
12	correct?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. Correct, minimum. Perhaps a little bit more,	13	Q. \$500 an hour to meet with attorneys and discuss
14	correct.	14	the case?
15	Q. How about review of medical records?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. That's included.	16	Q. And then a separate fee for trial testimony,
17	Q. Okay. So 80ish around 80 hours thus far	17	correct?
18	you've spent working on this case?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yes, minimum.	19	Q. And then reimbursement of travel expenses, for
20	Q. A minimum of 80 hours so far you've spent working	20	example, to trial and if you are called to testify?
21	on this case?	21	A. Correct.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Okay. Does that represent the total universe of
23	Q. At \$500 an hour?	23	the fees that you'll be charging in this case?
24	A. Correct.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. So we can take your per hour fee, times it times	25	Q. Doctor, do you have a field of specialty inside
	Page 71		Page 73
1	the estimated hours you've spent on this case and get ar	1	of the field of pathology?
2	estimate of the total fees you will collect in this case?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. Well, that I will bill for them.	3	Q. What is that area of specialty?
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. Broadly speaking it's surgical pathology, but
5	A. Yes.	5	within the realm of surgical pathology, I'm a gynecologic
6	Q. Will you be charging \$500 an hour for your	6	pathology and GI pathology subspecialist.
7	deposition testimony?	7	Q. Do you have a major emphasis in a particular area
8	A. Yes.	8	related to cancer?
9	Q. Do you have a different fee for trial testimony?	9	A. Most of my research is centered around GYN or GI
10	A. I believe I do, and I do not recall that right	10	cancer, yes.
11	now.	11	Q. Okay. Do you hold yourself out on a Stanford
12	Q. Okay.	12	website to have a major emphasis in ovarian cancer and
13	A. My AA has that.	13	ovarian tumors?
14	Q. That's something you'd be willing to provide,	14	A. Yes.
15	though?	15	Q. Okay. Most of your research in fact, close to
16	A. Absolutely, yes. And I really should have	16	all of your research is related to cancer, correct?
	brought it, but I forgot. I knew you would ask that.	17	MR. WES: Object to form.
17	Q. Sometime prior to trial	18	THE WITNESS: Not all not all of it.
18	*	19	MR. JONES: Q. The majority of your research is
18 19	A. Definitely.		
18 19 20	A. Definitely. Q we'll get a copy of that, though.	20	related to cancer, correct?
18 19 20 21	A. Definitely. Q we'll get a copy of that, though. Is it more or less than \$500 an hour?	20 21	related to cancer, correct? MR. WES: Same objection.
18 19 20 21 22	A. Definitely.Q we'll get a copy of that, though.Is it more or less than \$500 an hour?A. Well, I think it includes travel time. I really	20 21 22	related to cancer, correct? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: A substantial amount of my research
18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Definitely. Q we'll get a copy of that, though. Is it more or less than \$500 an hour? A. Well, I think it includes travel time. I really don't recall.	20 21 22 23	related to cancer, correct? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: A substantial amount of my research is related to cancer.
18 19 20 21 22	A. Definitely.Q we'll get a copy of that, though.Is it more or less than \$500 an hour?A. Well, I think it includes travel time. I really	20 21 22 23	related to cancer, correct? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: A substantial amount of my research

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

	Page 74		Page 76
1	A. No.	1	asking me was there a formal seminar or meeting to discus
2	Q. Have you ever published an article related to	2	these?
3	stress urinary incontinence?	3	MR. JONES: Q. I'll break it down. First I'll
4	A. No.	4	ask you related to formal seminars or meetings.
5	Q. Have you ever published an article related to	5	A. No.
6	pelvic mesh?	6	Q. Informal discussions?
7	A. No.	7	A. None that I'm aware of.
8	Q. So your area of specialty is not pelvic mesh,	8	Q. Okay. So since 1996 as a member of the
9	correct?	9	International Society of Gynecological Pathologists, you
10	MR. WES: Object to form.	10	have no recall whether in formal meetings or informal
11	THE WITNESS: That's correct. I am not a pelvic	11	conversations of complications resulting from transvaginal
12	mesh product expert	12	mesh?
13	MR. JONES: Q. Okay.	13	MR. WES: Object to form.
14	A or focused on that in research.	14	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
15	Q. Have you published any articles on mesh	15	MR. JONES: Q. Would that hold true for these
16	complications?	16	other societies that you're a member of?
17	A. No.	17	MR. WES: Object to form.
18	Q. Have you taught any courses related to	18	THE WITNESS: Again, there's no I'm not aware
19	polypropylene?	19	of any discussions. I don't go to all these meetings.
20	A. No.	20	There may have been one that occurred, but nothing that
21	Q. Made any presentations related to polypropylene?	21	I'm aware of or attended or even recall being posted that
22	A. No.	22	one would be there would be one.
23	Q. Taught any courses related to pelvic mesh?	23	MR. JONES: Q. If you turn to page 4 under
24	A. No.	24	"Editorial Board," you've listed several journals that you
25	Q. Made any presentations related to pelvic mesh?	25	serve on the Editorial Board for; is that correct?
	Page 75		Page 77
1	A. No.	1	
			A. Yes.
2	Q. Have you reviewed any material safety data sheets	2	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board
3	in this case?	2	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology?
3 4	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a	2 3 4	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology?A. Yes.
3 4 5	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is.	2 3 4 5	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology?A. Yes.Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single
3 4 5 6	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an
3 4 5 6 7	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological
3 4 5 6 7 8	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology?
3 4 5 6 7 8	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No. Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of polypropylene mesh used in mid I'll rephrase the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No. Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have you ever reviewed an article related to polypropylene?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of polypropylene mesh used in mid I'll rephrase the question. Since you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists, has there ever been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No. Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have you ever reviewed an article related to polypropylene? A. No, or at least not that I remember. Q. Okay. Then you have Journal Ad Hoc Reviewers, and you have listed the American Journal of Obstetrics and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of polypropylene mesh used in mid I'll rephrase the question. Since you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists, has there ever been a discussion of complications resulting from transvaginal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No. Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have you ever reviewed an article related to polypropylene? A. No, or at least not that I remember. Q. Okay. Then you have Journal Ad Hoc Reviewers, and you have listed the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of polypropylene mesh used in mid I'll rephrase the question. Since you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists, has there ever been a discussion of complications resulting from transvaginal mesh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No. Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have you ever reviewed an article related to polypropylene? A. No, or at least not that I remember. Q. Okay. Then you have Journal Ad Hoc Reviewers, and you have listed the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, correct? A. Correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in this case? A. What are I may have. I'm not sure what a material safety data sheet is. Q. Okay. MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a copy of your CV, which I will give you. (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for identification.) MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct? A. Correct. Q. Since you've been a member of that organization since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of polypropylene mesh used in mid I'll rephrase the question. Since you've been a member of the International Society of Gynecological Pathologists, has there ever been a discussion of complications resulting from transvaginal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single article related to mesh complications in your role as an editor on the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article on that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article on mesh complications? A. For publication? Q. (Nods head.) A. No. Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have you ever reviewed an article related to polypropylene? A. No, or at least not that I remember. Q. Okay. Then you have Journal Ad Hoc Reviewers, and you have listed the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, correct?

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

	Page 78		Page 80
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. Have you taught any courses related to
2	Q. Have you ever reviewed any articles related to	2	polypropylene ever?
3	polypropylene?	3	A. No.
4	A. Not that I'm aware of.	4	Q. Have you ever taught any courses related to
5	Q. Have you ever reviewed any articles related to	5	transvaginal mesh?
6	transvaginal mesh?	6	A. No.
7	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.	7	Q. Okay. On a copy of your CV that I have, it's
8	Q. Have you ever reviewed any articles related to	8	page 26, you've listed quite a few articles where you hav
9	mesh complications?	9	been an author, correct?
10	A. No.	10	A. Correct.
11	Q. If you go to page 8. You've listed courses that	11	Q. And I have it as number 71. The title of the
12	you've taught, correct?	12	article is "Ovarian Carcinosarcomas Associated with
13	A. Correct.	13	Prolonged use of Tamoxifen."
14	Q. And at the very bottom there's a course with the	14	A. Correct.
15	date 2013 called "Human Health and Disease," correct?	15	Q. Do you have and that was published in 2009?
16	A. Correct.	16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And that's within the gynecologic pathology	17	Q. Do you have a recall of the subject matter of
18	field, correct?	18	that article?
19	A. Yes. I'm not seeing where you're referring to,	19	A. I think it was a report of some ovarian
20	but yes.	20	carcinosarcomas that occurred in patients who had been
21	Q. Okay. Page 8.	21	using Tamoxifen basically.
22	MR. WES: Is this the version of the CV that we	22	Q. And what is Tamoxifen?
23	just gave you, or is this a different version?	23	A. It's a it's a hormonal really more agonist,
24	MR. JONES: Could be a different version.	24	slash, antagonist for estrogen that's being treated
25	THE WITNESS: Must be.	25	women with breast cancer are treated with.
	Page 79		Page 81
1	MR. WES: Because I think what we gave you was	1	Q. Is it a drug that's been cleared by the FDA?
2	the most up-to-date CV.	2	A. Yes.
3	MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Well, do you see on the CV	3	Q. It's been on the market for 40 years,
4	you have a copy of where you've listed courses that you've	4	thereabout?
5	taught?	5	MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.
6	A. Yes.	6	THE WITNESS: It's been on the market for a
7	Q. And you've listed a course for 2013 called "Human	7	while.
8	Health and Disease," correct?	8	
9		0	MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And in what article did
ı 🤊	A. Yes.	9	MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And in what article did you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian
10	A. Yes. Q. Within the gynecologic pathology		•
		9	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian
10	Q. Within the gynecologic pathology	9 10	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas?
10 11	Q. Within the gynecologic pathologyA. Yes.	9 10 11	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian
10 11 12	Q. Within the gynecologic pathologyA. Yes.Q correct?	9 10 11 12	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was
10 11 12 13	Q. Within the gynecologic pathologyA. Yes.Q correct?A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie.
10 11 12 13 14	Q. Within the gynecologic pathologyA. Yes.Q correct?A. Yes.Q. What did that course entail?	9 10 11 12 13 14	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding
10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the
10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and vulvar and vaginal pathology. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen? A. I don't know that it's a a delayed occurrence.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and vulvar and vaginal pathology. Q. Okay. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen? A. I don't know that it's a a delayed occurrence. And actually probably not even delayed. I mean, it's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and vulvar and vaginal pathology. Q. Okay. A. That's what it's about. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen? A. I don't know that it's a a delayed occurrence. And actually probably not even delayed. I mean, it's basically prolonged use.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and vulvar and vaginal pathology. Q. Okay. A. That's what it's about. Q. Any discussion of polypropylene in that course? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen? A. I don't know that it's a a delayed occurrence. And actually probably not even delayed. I mean, it's basically prolonged use. Q. Then you have listed some books and book chapters
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and vulvar and vaginal pathology. Q. Okay. A. That's what it's about. Q. Any discussion of polypropylene in that course? A. No. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen? A. I don't know that it's a a delayed occurrence. And actually probably not even delayed. I mean, it's basically prolonged use. Q. Then you have listed some books and book chapters in preparation in your CV, correct?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology A. Yes. Q correct? A. Yes. Q. What did that course entail? A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's about basic endometrial basic uterine service and vulvar and vaginal pathology. Q. Okay. A. That's what it's about. Q. Any discussion of polypropylene in that course? A. No. Q. Mesh complications? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian sarcomas? A. There was possible association between ovarian carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie. Q. Okay. Did you also was there also a finding that in that article that it was a delayed response to the Tamoxifen? A. I don't know that it's a a delayed occurrence. And actually probably not even delayed. I mean, it's basically prolonged use. Q. Then you have listed some books and book chapters in preparation in your CV, correct? A. Yes.

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	Page 82		Page 84
1	Q. Has that is that still in preparation, or has	1	you've given in your role as an expert witness?
2	that been published yet?	2	A. I think less than 50, but it may be somewhere in
3	A. It's still in preparation.	3	that range.
4	Q. Okay. Is there any discussion within that book	4	Q. How many times have you testified at trial?
5	of polypropylene?	5	A. Maybe half a dozen. Not that often.
6	A. No.	6	Q. Do your fees for your litigation consulting and
7	Q. Transvaginal mesh?	7	expert work make up a significant amount of your salary
8	A. No.	8	and revenue?
9	Q. Mesh complications?	9	A. No.
10	A. No.	10	Q. Have you ever acted as an expert prior to this
11	Q. That's all the questions I have about your CV.	11	case on transvaginal mesh?
12	You can put that away.	12	A. No.
13	Do you specialize in how the body reacts to	13	Q. Hernia mesh?
14	polypropylene?	14	A. No.
15		15	
l	MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: As a pathologist I have expertise	16	Q. Have you ever worked for Johnson & Johnson prior to this case?
16		17	
17	in interpreting tissue response to foreign material in		A. I don't think so, but it's a big company with a
18	general, and that would include polypropylene.	18	bunch of subsidiaries so
19	MR. JONES: Q. In your experience as a	19	Q. It is.
20	pathologist, are mesh explants stored in any type of	20	A. But not that I know.
21	material to preserve them?	21	Q. How about Ethicon?
22	A. They're often submitted because there's	22	A. No.
23	associated tissue at some level with them, they're often	23	Q. In this case did you conduct any testing
24	submitted in formalin fixative.	24	yourself?
25	Q. Okay. Is that the customary practice that you	25	A. Other than that S-100 immunohistochemical stain,
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Page 83 see as a pathologist?	1	no.
1 2	see as a pathologist? A. Yes.	1 2	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present
	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject		no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina?
2	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically	2	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking.
2	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject	2	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area?
2 3 4	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague.	2 3 4	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking.
2 3 4 5	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on	2 3 4 5	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague.	2 3 4 5 6	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know. Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory response of transvaginal mesh ever stop?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know. Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to degradation of mesh in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory response of transvaginal mesh ever stop? A. Well, so with any foreign body there will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know. Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to degradation of mesh in this case? A. No, I will not. MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory response of transvaginal mesh ever stop? A. Well, so with any foreign body there will always to the best of my knowledge in all my experience, there's always a sort of persistent thin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know. Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to degradation of mesh in this case? A. No, I will not. MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. MR. JONES: Q. I want to ask you a series of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory response of transvaginal mesh ever stop? A. Well, so with any foreign body there will always to the best of my knowledge in all my experience, there's always a sort of persistent thin layer, and in some instances it may be even thicker of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know. Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to degradation of mesh in this case? A. No, I will not. MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. MR. JONES: Q. I want to ask you a series of questions about your experience as a litigation consultant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory response of transvaginal mesh ever stop? A. Well, so with any foreign body there will always to the best of my knowledge in all my experience, there's always a sort of persistent thin layer, and in some instances it may be even thicker of lymphocytes and macrophages associated with that foreign
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see as a pathologist? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically related to the formalin that it's preserved in? MR. WES: Object to form, vague. THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the formalin affect mesh? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin necessarily affects mesh. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in interaction with formalin, I don't know. Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to degradation of mesh in this case? A. No, I will not. MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. MR. JONES: Q. I want to ask you a series of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	no. Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present inside the vagina? A. I'm not sure what that what you're asking. Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon the flora that's there and whether patients taking antibiotic use, et cetera. MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? A. That's not MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: within the realm of my opinion in this case. MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory response of transvaginal mesh ever stop? A. Well, so with any foreign body there will always to the best of my knowledge in all my experience, there's always a sort of persistent thin layer, and in some instances it may be even thicker of

	Page 86		Page 88
1	symptomatology is not so certain. The cells are obviously	1	transvaginal mesh?
2	alive and viable, but how much they're really doing other	2	MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.
3	than just standing guard, if you will.	3	THE WITNESS: Well, polypropylene is used in
4	Q. Okay. I'm going to name a few articles related	4	suture material and other mesh materials and maybe other
5	to the inflammatory response to transvaginal mesh or	5	things, but those are the only two that come to mind right
6	hernia mesh and ask you if they ring a bell. Are you	6	now.
7	familiar with a Cobb article?	7	MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Do you know if it's used
8	MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.	8	in fishing line?
9	THE WITNESS: It would be so much easier if we	9	MR. WES: Same objection.
10	had the articles.	10	THE WITNESS: My son would know.
11	MR. JONES: Q. If I'll tell you what. If	11	MR. JONES: I'll move on.
12	once we get a list of the articles that you actually	12	Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to
13	looked at, then I'd gladly be would ask you about	13	cytotoxicity in this case?
14	those. But I'm just trying to get	14	MR. WES: Object to form.
15	A. I know.	15	THE WITNESS: Not no, not other than what
16		16	I've already talked about in terms of the inflammatory
17	Q. Okay. Does the name Kosterhalfen ring any bells?A. Yes, that does.	17	
	*	18	response, no, not specifically.
18	Q. Okay.		MR. JONES: Q. Did you review any Ethicon
19 20	MR. WES: Same objection. MR. JONES: Q. What's your recall of Bernard	19 20	testing related to the cytotoxicity of the mesh used in the TVT Abbrevo device?
	Kosterhalfen?		
21		21	A. No.
22	A. I'm not sure right now. I don't remember.	22	MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.
23	Q. It's a pretty unique name, and it rings a bell	23	THE WITNESS: And no, I don't recall specifically
24	A. Yes.	24	reviewing any cytotoxicity.
25	Q and you know you've reviewed something related	1 25	MR. JONES: Q. Would cytotoxicity testing of the
	Page 87		Page 89
1	to Klosterhalfen?	1	mesh used in the TVT Abbrevo device be something that you
2	A. I think I have, yes.	2	would want to look at in helping you form your opinions in
3	Q. We talked about Iakolov, I-A-K-O-L-O-V, perhaps	3	this case?
4	on the spelling. He's a pathologist who has written some	4	MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.
5	articles related to transvaginal mesh. Does that ring a	5	THE WITNESS: No, it wouldn't I don't think
6	bell at all?	6	that would have any influence on my opinions on the slides
7	MR. WES: Object to form.	7	and the tissue, no.
8	THE WITNESS: It's not ringing any bell right	8	
9			MR. JONES: Q. Did you keep any notes when you
1	now, but it doesn't mean I didn't review it. But I	9	worked on this case?
10	don't I don't recollect it right now.	9 10	worked on this case? A. No.
11	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford?	9 10 11	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically
11 12	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.	9 10 11 12	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case?
11	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name	9 10 11 12 . 13	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No.
11 12	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.	9 10 11 12	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders?
11 12 13	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections.	9 10 11 12 . 13	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders.
11 12 13 14	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge?	9 10 11 12 . 13	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person
11 12 13 14 15	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections.	9 10 11 12 . 13 14 15	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders.
11 12 13 14 15 16	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar. MR. JONES: Q. Okay.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. This is Klinge?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical records?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. This is Klinge? Q. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical records? MR. WES: Object to form.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. This is Klinge? Q. Yes. A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical records? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Mostly I've read the paper.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name. MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. This is Klinge? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that polypropylene is used in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical records? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Mostly I've read the paper. MR. JONES: Q. Did anybody help you work on this
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't I don't recollect it right now. MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford? MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge? MR. WES: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That name is familiar. MR. JONES: Q. Okay. A. This is Klinge? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that polypropylene is used in products besides transvaginal mesh?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worked on this case? A. No. Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically in response to this case? A. No. Q. Any file folders? A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders. Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical records? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Mostly I've read the paper. MR. JONES: Q. Did anybody help you work on this case?

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

1	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q. Or fellow doctors?	1	A. Oh, he operated on occasion at Stanford.
2	A. No.	2	Q. Okay.
3	Q. Have you set up a corporation to accept payments	3	A. And I'm a GYN pathologist.
4	for your litigation consulting work?	4	Q. So you worked with him?
5	A. No.	5	A. I don't know about with him, but I you know, I
6	Q. Before rendering your opinions in this case, did	6	received pathology materials that he removed.
7	you speak with any pelvic floor surgeons at Stanford	7	Q. What pathology materials were those?
8	University?	8	A. Oh, I don't remember. They were GYN path, but
9	A. No.	9	that was so long ago, I don't remember. And there were
10	Q. Do you know of any of the pelvic floor surgeons	10	discussions that I had, but I have no recollection of the
11	at Stanford University?	11	contents of them. But I definitely remembered him as
12	A. Yes.	12	being a surgeon that was at Stanford.
13	Q. I mean, you're aware that Stanford has a highly	13	Q. Do you have any criticisms of his expertise in
14	respected pelvic floor surgery clinic, correct?	14	the field of urogynecology?
15	A. I would suspect they do.	15	MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.
16	Q. With well respected surgeons who make up that	16	THE WITNESS: He's not an expert in GYN
17	clinic, right?	17	pathology.
18	MR. WES: Object to form.	18	MR. JONES: Q. Any other criticisms?
19	MR. JONES: Q. Do you know Lisa Rogo-Gupta?	19	MR. WES: Same objection.
20	A. No, I don't know her.	20	THE WITNESS: Nothing that I want to say right
21	Q. Okay. Didn't talk to her at all	21	now.
22	A. No.	22	MR. JONES: Q. Right now. Are these criticisms
23	Q before you gave your opinions in this case?	23	that you might share at trial?
24	A. Don't even know her.	24	A. No.
25	Q. How about Eric Sokol?	25	Q. You just want to keep those personal, to
	Page 91		Page 93
1	A. I know of him, yes.	1	yourself?
2	O Did you talls to him before you rendered your		
	Q. Did you talk to him before you rendered your	2	A. I think so.
3	opinions in this case?	2	A. I think so. Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological
3 4			
	opinions in this case?	3	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological
4	opinions in this case? A. No.	3 4	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at
4 5	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on	3 4 5	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct?
4 5 6	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh?	3 4 5 6	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that.
4 5 6 7	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that?
4 5 6 7 8	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one?
4 5 6 7 8 9	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry? A. Yes. Q. Did you know Dr. Margolis used to work at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos posted by the Stanford urogynecology clinic that have been
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry? A. Yes. Q. Did you know Dr. Margolis used to work at Stanford University?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos posted by the Stanford urogynecology clinic that have been posted online?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry? A. Yes. Q. Did you know Dr. Margolis used to work at Stanford University? A. Yes, I did.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos posted by the Stanford urogynecology clinic that have been posted online? A. I don't think so.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry? A. Yes. Q. Did you know Dr. Margolis used to work at Stanford University? A. Yes, I did. Q. Do you know Dr. Margolis?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos posted by the Stanford urogynecology clinic that have been posted online? A. I don't think so. Q. Okay. Did you notify the pathology department at
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opinions in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about transvaginal mesh? A. No. Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his deposition, correct? MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the testimony. MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question. Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry? A. Yes. Q. Did you know Dr. Margolis used to work at Stanford University? A. Yes, I did.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at Stanford, correct? A. No, I didn't realize that. Q. You didn't know that? A. No. MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form, foundation on the last one? MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case? A. Yes, I do know that. Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh complications? MR. WES: Same objection. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that. MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos posted by the Stanford urogynecology clinic that have been posted online? A. I don't think so.

Page 96 Page 94 THE WITNESS: I think in all likelihood that it 1 A. No. 1 2 Q. Do any other doctors at Stanford know that you're 2 did contribute. 3 MR. JONES: Q. It's possible that it didn't 3 acting as an expert in this case? 4 4 contribute, though, right? A. No, I don't think they do. 5 5 Q. I want to go back to real quickly -- we talked A. Of course. 6 6 Q. It's possible that her smoking, you know, two about smoking and diet and diabetes earlier and how it 7 relates to wound healing, and I want to focus on the diet. 7 cigarettes a week did not affect her healing capacity, 8 Have you reviewed records in this case related to 8 correct? 9 9 Ms. Perry that discuss certain diets she was on? MR. WES: Object to form. 10 A. Yes. 10 THE WITNESS: It's -- yes, it is possible. 11 Q. And what did those records say? 11 MR. JONES: Q. It's also possible that diabetes didn't impact the wound healing at all, correct? 12 MR. WES: Object to form. The records will speak 12 13 for themselves. 13 MR. WES: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. All of these things are 14 MR. JONES: Q. Do you have any recollection of 15 15 possible, but are we talking about possible or likely? it? A. I do recall it, yes. It was -- sounded like an 16 And I think that these are all factors that have been 16 17 odd diet to me. 17 established to impair wound healing, and if they're active 18 18 in a particular patient who is having problems with wound Q. An odd diet? 19 A. And it sounded -- yeah, but most diets sound a 19 healing, one would suspect that in all likelihood they 20 little odd to me, to be quite honest, so -- but yeah, she 20 were contributory factors. 21 was on a -- some special diet that she was trying to 21 And that's sort of how medicine works. It's not 22 22 an all or none absolute science. It's not like the reduce her weight, correct. 23 O. Okav. 23 non-medical sciences. 2.4 A. And it looked to me like it was really quite low 24 MR. JONES: Q. Not two plus two equals four? 25 on the calories. 25 A. Exactly. It's not mathematical. Page 95 Page 97 1 Q. Oh, very low calorie intake diet? 1 Q. Did you focus at all -- when you looked at her A. Yes. 2 2 diet in the association to wound healing, did you focus a 3 Q. How you about protein? Was there a focus on the 3 all on the amount of protein in her diet? 4 amount of protein in her diet? 4 A. Not specifically. 5 5 Q. Okay. Protein -- the amount of protein in your MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for 6 6 diet is related to wound healing as well, right? speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't really specifically 7 A. Oh, of course. Lots of things. But protein's 8 8 recall what all the components of the diet were. important as well, yes. 9 9 MR. JONES: Q. But you do specifically recall it Q. Do you have any recall of her not getting proper 10 was a low calorie intake diet? 10 amount of protein in her diet? 11 A. Yes. 11 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. 12 Q. She was trying to reduce her weight? 12 THE WITNESS: No, I can't address whether or not 13 A. Yes. 13 she had sufficient protein in her diet. 14 Q. And it was what you phrased as an odd diet? 14 MR. JONES: Q. When she was on this diet, was 15 15 she malnutritioned? 16 Q. And it's your opinion that that diet affected her 16 MR. WES: Same objection. 17 ability to heal the wound from the TVT Abbrevo device? 17 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by MR. WES: Object to form. 18 18 "malnutritioned." She may not have been given all the 19 THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that that 19 necessary nutrients. 20 20 significant reduction in calorie intake when she was MR. JONES: Q. Do you know one way or another 21 having post surgery and wound repair may well have 21 whether she was getting the necessary amount of --22 A. No. affected the healing, yes. 2.2 23 MR. JONES: Q. It may well have not have 23 O. -- nutrients? 24 affected the healing, though, too, right? 24 MR. WES: Same objection. 25 MR. WES: Object to form, argumentative. 25 MR. JONES: Q. You're not a nutritionist, right?

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Page 98 Page 100 1 A. Exactly. THE WITNESS: It's a matter of significant 2 Q. So that's outside of the field of your expertise? 2 decrease as well as just the level. You know, 3 A. Correct. Well --3 physiologic, you know, you're sort of used to a certain 4 4 Q. Whether she was -level of intake. And when you do a drastic cut, that has 5 5 A. -- specific nutritional questions are not part of a bigger effect than long term. 6 6 my opinion. I mean, a certain amount of nutrients may be 7 Q. Whether she was getting an adequate amount of 7 healthy at some level, but when you do these sudden cuts, 8 8 nutrients in her diet is outside the field of your the body doesn't adapt that quickly. That's my point. 9 9 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. It's not so much --10 MR. WES: Object to form. 10 A. It's not --11 THE WITNESS: So my opinion is that she's got 11 Q. -- the amount of nutrients that she was getting, 12 inadequate wound healing. Let's just reiterate that -- or 12 it's more the decrease in level of the nutrients perhaps 13 insufficient. That wound is not healing. And what were 13 due to the low calorie intake diet she was on? 14 14 A. Yes. the possible causes? 15 15 One of them might be this drastic diet she went Q. Okay. 16 16 on. It's a pretty significant cut in calories, I suspect, A. So that diet may be totally, although it seems 17 for her, and that may have been a contributing factor. 17 odd, healthy, but I still say these sudden cuts are, you 18 And I stand by that. 18 know -- those are the things -- a body doesn't react that 19 19 Now, if you bring a nutritionist in and they say, fast to those. It takes awhile to get back to steady 20 well, that should be enough, it may be enough for somebody 20 state, and that sudden drop could -- could impair wound 21 who is not trying to heal a wound, who is not diabetic, 21 healing, could impair -- you know, resistant to infection, 22 who is not with all these other factors. I think it gets 22 all sorts of things, colds. 23 to be a complicated issue, but that is an added insult in 23 Q. Could it cause an erosion of the mesh? 24 somebody who is already trying to heal postsurgical. 24 MR. WES: Object to form. 25 In fact, they tell you to increase your nutrition 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, because I'm not sure what you Page 99 Page 101 because surgery -- any kind of -- this isn't that major of 1 mean by "erosion of the mesh." 1 2 a surgery, I admit, but any kind of procedure actually 2 MR. JONES: Q. So in this case Ms. Perry was 3 increases catabolism so... 3 implanted with mesh? 4 MR. JONES: Q. Whether or not Ms. Perry was 4 A. Correct. 5 5 getting an adequate amount of nutrients in her diet is Q. And that mesh eroded through her vaginal tissue, 6 outside the field of your expertise? Yes or no? 6 7 MR. WES: Object to form. 7 MR. WES: Object to form. 8 8 THE WITNESS: Well, see, that's -- yeah, that's THE WITNESS: To the extent that I'm a physician, 9 9 kind of -- I think that's sort of the crux of the issue is it's still within my area of expertise, but it's not my 10 10 subspecialty, and I think that's what you're asking. So that really -- I mean, what is mesh erosion and what 11 no, that's not something that I am a subspecialist in. 11 causes that? And I'm not a hundred percent clear that I 12 It's not nutrition. That would be correct. 12 even understand that reading all the literature about 13 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And you won't be giving ar 13 14 opinion that the diet Ms. Perry was on was not supplying 14 Does mesh eventually come up against the 15 her an adequate amount of nutrients, correct? 15 vaginal tissues and erode or present itself? Yes, that 16 MR. WES: Object to form. 16 is. But what's causing that? And in this particular 17 THE WITNESS: Adequate for what? See, that's the 17 case, the tissue that was removed, as I mentioned, shows a 18 problem I'm having. Adequate for what? 18 non-healing wound in the vaginal area of the mucosa, but beneath that is an area of submucosal, the normal 19 MR. JONES: Q. Adequate for wound healing. 19 20 2.0 A. It may not have been. submucosal in vaginal tissue that looks fine. And then 21 MR. WES: Objection. 21 the next layer down is where you see the mesh. 22 THE WITNESS: It may not have been. 22 So it's not clear that that mesh eroding up is 23 MR. JONES: Q. Do you know the level of 23 what caused or was even causally related. In fact, I 24 nutrients that she was getting in her diet? 24 would argue it's not to that mucosal disruption. I think 25 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation. that's postsurgical wound healing that didn't

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Page 102 Page 104 1 heal. 1 findings in the literature that transvaginal mesh caused 2 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 2 pain in women? 3 3 A. Now, that may have eventually, you know --MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope, 4 4 because when you have a non-healing wound, you end up foundation. 5 getting a little bit of a depression, and that may have 5 THE WITNESS: There are a lot of papers 6 6 discussing pain in association with the transvaginal mesh caused that mesh to become closer approximated to that --7 7 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. to the -- you know, the vaginal lumen. 8 8 But you know, the mesh moving up and eroding the A. And possible hypotheses about what might be 9 mucosa, I don't think that's what happened in this case. 9 causing that pain, yes. 10 I think it's more that there's a non-healing wound, and 10 Q. Same for dyspareunia? 11 eventually that mesh, you know, because of the non-healing 11 A. Yes. 12 wound became more approximated to the surface of the Q. And you're aware that there's mesh still inside 13 13 of Ms. Perry, correct? 14 14 Q. You say you reviewed literature related to mesh A. Yes. 15 15 erosions, right? Q. And you're aware that that mesh could erode 16 16 A. Yes. again, correct? 17 Q. Okay. Fair to say that mesh has eroded in women 17 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for 18 18 speculation, outside the scope. who don't smoke? 19 19 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving any opinions 20 THE WITNESS: So yeah, it's not -- he's telling 20 about recurring erosions in this case? 21 us he's trying to say it's not part of my opinion. I 21 MR. WES: Same objections. 22 22 think that's fair to say, but yeah, I'm not sure I've seen THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I'm not sure. 23 a very nice well-designed study for erosion and, you know, 23 Again, I'm not really sure what the question is. 24 pathologic examination and risk factors. I don't think 2.4 MR. JONES: Q. Well, you've made -- you've given 25 that that exists in the literature. 25 opinions related to the wound healing following her mesl Page 103 Page 105 1 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. You talked earlier about procedure, correct? 2 why Ms. Perry had the mesh explanted, and you said 2 A. Correct. 3 3 Q. And you understand the mesh is still inside of Ms. Perry wanted it explanted and Mr. Perry wanted it 4 explanted. You didn't say Mr. Perry wanted it explanted. 4 her, correct? 5 5 but you made a reference to Mr. Perry, right? A. Correct. 6 A. Correct. 6 Q. So your opinions related to the wound healing 7 MR. WES: Object to form. 7 following the mesh procedure are not going to be 8 8 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And flush that out. applicable to any future mesh complications, correct? 9 9 Explain what you meant when you brought up Mr. Perry MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for 10 10 related to the explant surgery. speculation. 11 11 A. Well, when Mrs. Perry presented back to her THE WITNESS: Assuming there's no further 12 12 problems with wound healing, then I would expect -- I physicians with her complaints of pain, there were two 13 13 issues, one, that her husband was complaining of pain guess I'm not still sure what you're asking me. 14 14 MR. JONES: Q. Could there be wound healing during intercourse of it shafted his penis, feeling 15 something in the -- her anterior vaginal wall. That was 15 impairment in the future for Ms. Perry? 16 his pain. 16 A. There might be, yes. I mean, she's already 17 Her pain was pain on entry predominantly, 17 demonstrated impaired wound healing once, so it's possible dyspareunia. 18 18 that it could happen again. She did have a second 19 19 Q. In your literature review of mesh erosions, did surgical procedure presumably that is now well healed and 20 20 you see references that -- to mesh causing pain? won't break down again, but I don't -- you know, I can't 21 A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that question? 21 say for certain that it wouldn't. 22 22 Q. Yeah. You reviewed literature related to mesh It appears to me that based on at least the 23 23 erosions, right? preliminary review of records that it was healing. 24 24 A. Yes. Q. Have you ever had your opinions excluded by any 25 Q. Within your literature review, did you see 25 jurisdiction?

Page 106 Page 108 A. No. I don't think so. 1 question again that he just asked and I responded to about 2 Q. Okay. 2 the reliability of what? 3 3 A. What does that mean when you're asking me that? Yeah, can you read that back? 4 Q. Have you ever offered opinions in a case and the 4 (Record read.) 5 5 judge has come back and excluded your opinions because THE WITNESS: Okay. So I want to correct --6 they weren't relevant to the case? 6 answer that. The findings may be -- it's more -- it could 7 A. No. No. 7 be the findings, but it also would be the -- sort of the 8 8 Q. Has a judge ever excluded your opinions on the scientific evidence supporting their conclusions. 9 bases of your lack of expertise? 9 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 10 A. No. 10 A. Right. So some of the opinions stated kind of --11 Q. Has the -- has a judge ever excluded your 11 that's based on their findings in part but --12 opinions on the bases that you've given opinions outside 12 Q. One of the things you look at --13 of the field of your expertise? 13 A. So it's all of that. It's the entire package. 14 14 A. No. It's not just the -- you know, the result section. It 15 Q. Okay. Will you be giving any opinions in this 15 would be all of that. Okay. 16 case as to industry bias in the medical device 16 Q. You want as much information as reasonably 17 marketplace? 17 possible to assess the data in the article that you're 18 A. No. 18 reviewing? 19 19 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. A. Yes. 20 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving any opinions 20 Q. And one of the pieces of information you would 21 as to industry bias in the medical literature? 21 want is whether the authors were being paid by the company 22 MR. WES: Same objection. 22 marketing the device that they're studying? 23 THE WITNESS: No. 23 MR. WES: Object to form. 24 MR. JONES: Q. And when you reviewed the medical 24 MR. JONES: Q. Correct? 25 literature in this case, did you look and examine the bias 25 MR. WES: Foundation. Page 107 Page 109 of the authors? 1 THE WITNESS: I pay -- I pay attention to those 1 2 MR. WES: Same objection. Also, foundation, 2 issues as well, yes. That doesn't necessarily imply that 3 3 their findings are unreliable, but no, I definitely pay calls for speculation. 4 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't use the word "bias," but 4 attention to those things. 5 5 MR. JONES: Q. But it's something you would want generally when I review any scientific paper, I try to 6 take note of who the authors are even though obviously I 6 to know absolutely? 7 can't always recall their names but the centers that 7 A. Yes. Yes. 8 8 they're associated with. Q. And when you reviewed literature, you talked 9 9 I also pay attention to the journal that it's about long-term studies earlier, correct? 10 10 published in, whether peer reviewed, and if I can discern A. Correct. 11 whether it's a respected journal. They have different 11 Q. Did you notice anything in those long-term levels of peer review journals. 12 12 studies where the authors were paid by companies that were 13 13 So yes, I do that. And that's probably a better marketing the very products that were discussed in the 14 way than just call it bias because we want to be sure that 14 study? 15 people are presenting good data. 15 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, outside the 16 Q. The reason why you examine all those factors that 16 scope. 17 you discussed because it helps you form a judgment as to 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. So no, there are -- there 18 the reliability of the findings in the article, correct? 18 were -- I don't recall specific -- which specifics, but A. Correct. 19 19 there certainly are some of those, and that happens in all 2.0 MR. WES: Object to form. 2.0 the literature of course. 21 MR. JONES: Q. And -- and do you examine --21 MR. JONES: Q. And did you examine whether the 22 strike that. 22 authors in some of those long-term studies were the inventors of the products that they were reporting on? 23 A. Wait --23 24 Q. It's something that you would -- go ahead. 24 MR. WES: Same objections. 25 A. So wait a second. Why don't you -- repeat that 25 MR. JONES: Why would it be important when you're

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Page 110 Page 112 1 reviewing medical literature to help form your opinions in 1 (Short break taken.) 2 this case to examine if the authors were, in fact, the 2 MR. JONES: We're back on the record. That's all 3 3 inventors of the product they were reporting on? the questioning I have for you, Doctor. I'll now pass the 4 4 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for witness. 5 5 speculation. EXAMINATION BY MS. COTA 6 6 MS. COTA: Q. Good afternoon, Doctor Longacre. THE WITNESS: Well, it always places things in context, but you know, other than that -- yeah, you know, 7 7 My name is Laura Cota. I don't think I introduced myself 8 8 it's good to know these things, but they don't necessarily earlier. I apologize for that. Our firm represents Dr. Luu in this matter, and I have just a few questions 9 impact -- they may, but they don't necessarily impact the 9 10 validity or dis-validity, if you will, of their findings. 10 for you. I'm going to try not to repeat any of the 11 MR. JONES: Q. When you've served on editorial 11 questions that counsel has already asked, but I may. And 12 boards for medical journals, have you required that the 12 if I do, I'm going to apologize for that in advance. 13 author submit disclosures related to how much money 13 We spoke in the beginning of counsel's 14 14 they've been paid by companies that they're reporting on? questioning about the documents you have reviewed in your 15 15 A. Yes. work on this case, and I just want to clarify, you 16 MR. WES: Object to form. 16 mentioned that you've reviewed some of plaintiff's medical 17 THE WITNESS: All the journals that I review 17 records. Did you review the plaintiff's record -- or I'm 18 require disclosures. I don't know that if it's the exact 18 sorry, Ms. Perry -- Ms. Perry's records from Dr. Luu? 19 dollar amount, but you know, full disclosure is required 19 A. Yes, I did. 20 before publication. 20 Q. And did you review Dr. Luu's complete chart for 21 MR. JONES: Q. Have you made comments online 21 Ms. Perry or just portions of it? 22 22 related to industry bias in the medical device A. I think I reviewed most, if not all, of the 23 marketplace? 23 chart. I think I was given most of it, and I think I 24 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, outside the 24 reviewed most of it. 25 25 Q. Okay. And how about -- are you familiar with scope. Page 111 Page 113 1 THE WITNESS: No. Dr. Allen and his involvement with Ms. Perry? 2 MR. JONES: Q. You haven't? 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Q. And did you review Dr. Allen's chart of 4 Q. Okay. Do you have social media accounts? 4 Ms. Perry? 5 A. No. 5 A. Yes. 6 O. You don't? 6 Q. And how about Dr. Singh? Are you aware of 7 A. No. Well, what do you mean? Which kind? 7 Dr. Singh's involvement with Ms. Perry? 8 Q. Are you on Twitter? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And did you review Dr. Singh's chart --9 A. No. No. 10 10 Q. Okay. Facebook? A. Yes. 11 A. No. 11 Q. -- of Ms. Perry? 12 Q. LinkedIn? 12 A. Yes, I did. 13 13 A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that Ms. Perry had the procedure 14 Q. Okay. Have you made any comments online related 14 performed by Dr. Luu at San Joaquin Community Hospital? 15 to concerns about marketing in the medical device 15 A. I don't specifically remember the name of the 16 marketplace? 16 hospital. 17 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, outside the 17 Q. Do you recall if you reviewed the hospital 18 18 records pertaining to Ms. Perry's procedures performed scope. 19 THE WITNESS: No. 19 Dr. Luu? MR. JONES: Okay. I think that may be all the 2.0 20 A. I may have briefly reviewed them. 21 questions I have. I want to go off record, take a 21 Q. Okay. And we talked a little bit about 22 ten-minute break and then sounds like there may be some 22 deposition transcripts, and I know you said you reviewed 23 more questions. We'll go figure that out. Does that 23 Ms. Perry's and her husband's deposition transcripts. 24 sound like a good plan? 24 Did you review Dr. Luu's deposition transcript? 25 MR. WES: Sounds good. 25 A. Yes, I did sometime ago.

Q. Do you recall how long ago that might have been? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And how about Dr. Allen? Did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And was that the same amount of time ago, about a month and a half ago? A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What I'm looking at it says, "Tissue vaginal wall posterior excision." Can you tell me what in layman's terms vaginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. Q. And that would have been taken from whe deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a Page 115 Page 115 Page 115 A. A month or so ago. If we was a little more recent. I think are we talking about? A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. Q. And that would have been taken from whe are the surginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. Q. Can you be any more specific about the lot that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and of determine the pathology of the cystocele and	what me of re? cation ding of nave been
2 A. A month or so ago. 3 Q. Okay. And how about Dr. Allen? Did you review 4 his deposition transcript? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And was that the same amount of time ago, about a month and a half ago? 8 A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. 9 Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? 14 deposition transcript? 15 A. A month or so ago. 16 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? 18 A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. 19 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? 20 A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few - Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a content of the sum of th	what me of re? cation ding of nave been
3	what me of re? cation ding of nave been
his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And was that the same amount of time ago, about month and a half ago? A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded. I was just read a few - Q. And was that the same amount of time ago, about a few raginal wall posterior excision." Can you tell me what in layman's terms or does that mean? What are we talking about? A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and so the submucosa. Q. And that would have been taken from whe and that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporthaphy procedure, and it was because there cystoccele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pathology of t	what me of re? cation ding of nave been
A. Yes. Q. And was that the same amount of time ago, about a month and a half ago? A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a contact a contact and a few captured to me, and the submitted and the pathology of the cystocele and contact and contact and the surgical procedure, and it was because there colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there colporrhaphy of the cystocele and colony of t	what me of re? cation ding of nave been
G. And was that the same amount of time ago, about a month and a half ago? A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few - Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a does that mean? What are we talking about? A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. Q. And that would have been taken from whe and that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colpornhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine	what me of re? cation ding of nave been
month and a half ago? A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his testimony were read to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few 24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a Can you tell me what in layman's terms of does that mean? What are we talking about? A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. 10 Q. And that would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there expected expected and rectocede. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pa	me of re? cation ding of nave been
A. I think that was a little more recent. I think that came in after Dr. Luu's. Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And that would have been taken from whe deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a destinate mean? What are we talking about? A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. Q. And that would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few 23 determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pathology of t	me of re? cation ding of nave been
9 that came in after Dr. Luu's. 10 Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his 11 deposition transcript? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's 14 deposition transcript? 15 A. A month or so ago. 16 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not 17 reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? 18 A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, 19 but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received 20 it. 21 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his 22 transcript have been forwarded to you? 23 A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few 24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a 26 A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and sor the submucosa. 10 the submucosa. 11 Q. And that would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from? 12 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 13 Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? 14 that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from? 15 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 16 Q. And do you know why the sample would be a very sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been taken from the variety sample would have been taken from whe that this sample would have been take	re? cation ding of nave been
10 Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his 11 deposition transcript? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's 14 deposition transcript? 15 A. A month or so ago. 16 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not 17 reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? 18 A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, 19 but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received 20 it. 21 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his 22 transcript have been forwarded. I was just read a few 24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a 10 the submucosa. 11 Q. And that would have been taken from whe deposition that the surginal procedure and the surginal procedure. 12 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 13 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 14 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 15 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 16 The WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. 24 MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pathology o	re? cation ding of nave been
deposition transcript? A. Yes. Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his C. Okay. Do you know what portions of his A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a D. And that would have been taken from whe had. A. The vagina. A. The vagina. A. The vagina. A. The vagina. A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. P. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? D. What this sample would have been taken from whe had. The vagina. A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. P. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? D. What this sample would have been taken from whe had. A. The vagina. Q. Can you be any more specific about the log. A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. P. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? D. What this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. P. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? D. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pathology of the cystocele and	cation ding of nave been
12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's 14 deposition transcript? 15 A. A month or so ago. 16 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not 17 reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? 18 A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, 19 but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received 20 it. 21 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his 22 transcript have been forwarded to you? 23 A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few 24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a 25 determine the pathology of the cystocele and of the taken in the vagina. 26 Q. Can you be any more specific about the log that the log that this sample would have been taken from? 27 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. 28 Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? 29 MR. WES: Object to form. 20 THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. 29 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a 25 determine the pathology of the cystocele and or	cation ding of nave been
Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a Q. Can you be any more specific about the log that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pathology of the cystocele and	ding of nave been
deposition transcript? A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a that this sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from? A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would have been taken from?	ding of nave been
A. A month or so ago. Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a some details and the surgical procedure. A. Distal posterior vagina from my understant the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there are cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determ	nave been
Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a the surgical procedure. Q. And do you know why the sample would be taken? MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there are cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the p	nave been
reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript? 18 A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, 19 but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received 20 it. 20 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his 21 transcript have been forwarded to you? 22 transcript have been forwarded. I was just read a few 23 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a determine the pathology of the cystocele and or	dical
A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me, but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a late taken? 18 taken? 19 MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me records, he performed an anterior and posterior colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. 23 MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine	dical
but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received it. Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a MR. WES: Object to form. THE WITNESS: This based on other me colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or	
20 THE WITNESS: This based on other me 21 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his 22 transcript have been forwarded to you? 23 A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few 24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a 20 THE WITNESS: This based on other me 21 records, he performed an anterior and posterior 22 colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there 23 cystocele and rectocele. 24 MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to 25 determine the pathology of the cystocele and or	
Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a some content of the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pat	
transcript have been forwarded to you? A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or	was a
A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a cystocele and rectocele. MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or	J
Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition 24 MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to determine the pathology of the cystocele and or determine the pa	
25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a 25 determine the pathology of the cystocele and o	
	e 117
1 summary. 1 A. No. No, this is part of	
2 A. I think that he was discussing shrinkage of the 2 MR. WES: Object to form.	
3 mesh and he discussed the pathology report at some point 3 THE WITNESS: Again, my I'm not a	surgeon.
4 and it was that discussion. 4 This is not but in terms of my receiving these	_
5 Q. Okay. And the pathology report he discussed, was 5 specimens, my understanding of these procedu	
6 it the the pathology report that you've referred to as 6 when they're basically removing excess tissue	
7 the from the I'm sorry, give me one second the 7 of tighten it up because the rectocele is basical	
8 posterior repair procedure? Was it that pathology report? 8 rectum is protruding into the vaginal lumen can	-
9 A. No. I think he was mostly just at least the 9 prolapse.	C
10 parts that were relayed to me was discussing the 10 And so by removing that redundant tissue	, it's
11 explant 11 thought to help prevent that rectocele. Same the	-
12 Q. Explant? 12 the cystocele, but that would be the anterior va	_
13 A tissue, yes. 13 mucosa.	-
Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, I know counsel asked you 14 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And so is this just	st a
15 this, but I'm not sure what the response was. 15 standard procedure to your knowledge?	
16 Dr. Margolis, he prepared a report of his independent 16 A. What	
medical exam of Ms. Perry. Have you reviewed that report? 17 MR. WES: Object to form.	
18 A. I may have reviewed that, but I don't I don't 18 MS. COTA: Q. To, I guess, get a sample	e to
19 have a clear memory of that. 19 pathology to review in this instance?	
Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of the deposition 20 A. Most most hospitals require their surg	eons to
21 transcript portions that were read to you from 21 submit all tissue that's removed from patients to	
22 Dr. Margolis's deposition referred to his report? 22 pathology.	
23 A. No, I don't recall that. 23 Q. Okay.	
Q. And Dr. Longacre, I'm going to refer to I 24 A. Yes. That part is standard.	
25 believe it's Exhibit L-2. It's the pathology report that 25 Q. Okay.	

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

Page 120 Page 118 1 A. Some hospitals don't have the explicit 1 for us. 2 requirement as others do. 2 A. Okay. I don't have mine. I don't know why. Do 3 3 Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you for clarifying that. I? Oh, nope. Here it is. Go ahead. And as part of Dr. Luu's chart, did you review 4 4 Q. Okay. Page 2. And if we look at -- let's see --5 5 Dr. Luu's operative report of Ms. Perry's procedures? I subsection C, number two, where it says, "Fragments of 6 believe they're on March 23rd of 2011. 6 hair bearing skin from perineum." 7 A. I'm sorry, did I review the operative procedure? 7 Can you explain how do you know that that's what 8 8 you were looking at? How do you identify skin from the Yes. 9 Q. Okay. So you read Dr. Luu's operative report? 9 perineum? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. So that's a fair question. Basically I was 11 Q. And do we know when during this procedure the 11 trying to distinguish vaginal mucosa from cutaneous 12 sample that we're talking about in this pathology report 12 tissue, and that's how I knew. I mean, it wasn't just 13 would have been obtained? 13 vaginal mucosa that was in the specimen, because that 14 14 A. I have the report here. I guess I'm not sure. looks different from skin. 15 15 What do you mean when was it obtained? Now, because this is a posterior colporrhaphy 16 16 Q. Do you know when during Dr. Luu's procedures this procedure, the skin would be the perineum. It should be. 17 sample would have been obtained? 17 If I knew -- how do I distinguish -- if somebody gave me 18 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for 18 slide from perineum and a slide from maybe the buttock, 19 19 would I be able to tell the difference? No. It's skin. speculation. 20 THE WITNESS: Short of reading this report, I 20 But there was no reason he would be taking skin 21 21 from someplace else. If he's taking it -- if the 22 22 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. Well, that's fine. We'll surgeon's removing skin, it would have been in the 23 move on. 23 perineal region. 24 I believe, Dr. Longacre, you testified earlier 24 Q. And further, what is the perineum? 25 that -- or actually, it says here in your report that 25 A. It's the skin -- in this particular case it would Page 119 Page 121 there are no gross findings because nothing but slides 1 be the skin immediately posterior to the vaginal -- to the 1 2 were reviewed by you. 2 introitus, the vaginal opening. 3 3 Q. And so your description here of it being from the A. Correct. 4 Q. And is it true that based on the pathology 4 perineum is because of -- is based on the procedure that 5 5 report -- and I apologize I cannot read this physician's Dr. Luu performed? 6 name -- this physician would have actually viewed the 6 A. Yes. It's contextual. I can tell that it's 7 actual sample? 7 perineum as opposed to vaginal mucosa because there's hair 8 8 and sebaceous glands, and you don't see that in vaginal MR. WES: Object, form, calls for speculation. 9 9 THE WITNESS: Well, no, there is a gross tissue. 10 description in the pathology report from the pathologist. Q. Okay. But like you said, you wouldn't be able to

11 differentiate -- if you didn't know what it was, you

12 wouldn't be able to differentiate skin from the perineum 13 with skin from -- I think your example was the buttock?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. In your looking at the samples, was it obvious to you that there was something other than mucosal tissue on the slide, in the sample?

MR. WES: Object to form.

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And then moving down to subsection D. And let's see. On -- I guess this is little -- little i, 1, where it says, "Hair bearing skin,"

23 et cetera. 24 Can you, as Counsel would say, flush that out for

me and just explain what that means and why it's

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

- 10
- 11 MS. COTA: Q. And so that means that this 12 physician actually viewed the sample?
- 13 MR. WES: Same objection. Also, foundational.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well, actually that's not the case. 15 The gross description was dictated by one physician,
- 16 whereas the diagnosis was signed out -- is rendered by a
- different physician. 17
- 18 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. But based on this pathology 19 report somebody -- some physician --
- 2.0 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- viewed the actual sample?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. And moving on to your list of
- 24 opinions on page 2 -- and thank you for providing this for
- 25 us. It made it a lot easier and probably more expedient

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Page 122

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

4

13

14

15

23

24

1 important?

5

6

7

8

9

14

16

21

2.4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

A. Again, it's just indicating that during -- that

3 it wasn't just vaginal mucosal tissue that was removed, it

4 was skin. It was cutaneous tissue. And again, by context

it was -- it was the posterior perineal tissue.

And the reason I know that is because there were hair and there were sebaceous glands, and it was a little more edematous than -- both -- actually, both the vaginal tissue and the perineal tissue was a little more edematous

10 than usual, but that's -- yeah, that's a soft finding, if

11 you will. It's not surprising if she has prolapse, but

it's not -- it's not an overly significant finding.

Q. Okay. And what does edematous mean?

A. Filled with fluid. It's not exactly it, but

15 that -- you know, for a lay person, that's sort of what it

means. If you have tissue protruding, it can be a little

17 more edematous.

Q. Gotcha. Thank you. And you testified earlier -and I'm looking at your points here. It the says 13

pieces were perineal -- I hate that word. I can't say

it -- and the remainder eight pieces were of the mucosa.

So did you look at 21 slides altogether?

A. No. That was just the number of pieces of tissue

that were on the slide -- on the slides in total.

25 Q. I see.

Page 123

- A. So there were four blocks and in putting -adding up all the pieces in each of those four slides that
 were made from the four blocks, there were that many
- 4 fragments of tissue.
- Q. Do you know if the entire sample was -- I don't
 know what the right word is -- prepared and placed on
 slides?

8 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for 9 speculation.

THE WITNESS: So do I know for -- personally because, no, I didn't do the gross. But based on the path report, it says, "Sections all, four cassettes." That generally means all the tissue was submitted. That's what that should mean.

If they meant something else, then it's not -- it's a miscommunication. Generally when we say all, all the tissue's been submitted.

MR. COTA: Q. Okay. Do you know if you have viewed all of the tissue from the sample that was submitted?

A. Four cassettes were made, and so there were four sets of slides, so that should be all of the slides of the

23 tissue.

Now, you could cut additional -- there's still tissue in the blocks, in the paraffin blocks, and you could theoretically cut more sections.

Q. Okay.

3 A. So it's not like every --

MR. WES: Previous objections.

MS. COTA: Q. So you haven't looked at the entire sample? Is that fair to say?

MR. WES: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. No, I don't think that's fair to say because I'm not even sure what you're asking.

MS. COTA: Q. Well, I think you talked about the sample they take and cut and make -- prepare slides out of the blocks.

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know if you've viewed -- like if all the material has been prepared as slides?

17 A. No. I don't know that.

Q. Okay. So it could be that there's portions of the sample that you haven't looked at?

20 MR. WES: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: There will be -- no. You know, what's going to be left is additional level sections of that tissue, but there's not going to be suddenly another

piece of tissue that I didn't see. That's highly

unlikely. That would be not good pathology practice.

Page 125

Page 124

You're supposed to cut into your block enough that you've seen all the -- that the pathologist has viewed all the

3 tissue on those slides. So --

MS. COTA: Q. Okay.

A. So there may be additional levels, but there won't be -- there should not be more tissue that I didn't see.

Q. I see. And so would each slide have the fourlevels that you described, the mucosa, the

submucosa, the muscularis and the adventitia?

11 A. Not necessarily. Most of them just have mucosa 12 and submucosa.

Q. Okay. And the skin from the perineum, where would -- so that was along with the mucosa or the submucosa level that you saw?

A. No. No. It's another piece of tissue. And then
 we'll have skin. Then you don't call it -- it's not
 mucosae. You call it epidermis and then dermis --

19 Q. Okay.

A. -- which is the cutaneous correlate for mucosa and submucosa.

22 Q. Gotcha. Okay. Thanks.

A. There was -- most of the fragments were really distinct. They were either skin or vaginal mucosa. As I recall, there was one fragment where most of the tissue

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

Page 128 Page 126 1 was vaginal mucosa, and then it transitioned into the 1 introitus? 2 2 A. Yes. 3 3 So obviously he had taken tissue right at the Q. But Ms. Perry continues to complain of pain; is 4 4 junction of the vaginal mucosa and the skin, again -that right? 5 arguing, again, that it is, in fact, perineum because 5 MR. WES: Object to form, calls for speculation, 6 6 they're actually connected. foundation, outside the scope. 7 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified that the -- I 7 MS. COTA: Q. You can answer. 8 8 could be misstating this, but that the slides you were A. My understanding is because I recently saw a 9 looking at there was some degradation from the process of 9 document that she was, yes. 10 something along those lines? 10 Q. Okay. And were you aware that in addition to the 11 A. No, not degradation. 11 complaints of dyspareunia, she also has complained of 12 12 MR. WES: Objection, form, misstates the vaginal pain? 13 13 MR. WES: Same objections. testimony. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. So there's no degradation. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm not sure what vaginal 15 There's no disruption. There's no interpretive issues 15 pain is, but yes. 16 with the initial tissue that was removed during the 16 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. 17 insertion of the mesh. It was the mesh -- the second 17 A. I understand that she has that. She says she has 18 procedure when the mesh was removed, that tissue was 18 that. 19 19 Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that she fairly disrupted. 20 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. Okay. I was confusing two 20 continues to complain of vaginal pain, as we sit here 21 words and two different pathology reports. 21 22 22 And I know you're familiar with Ms. Perry's MR. WES: Same objections. 23 statements in her deposition and her medical records. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know what she -- what's 24 Have you reviewed any of her responses to any discovery 24 happening today, but I realize that there was -- so 25 requests that have been made in this case? 25 basically, yeah, I was actually pretty surprised because I Page 127 Page 129 1 A. I'm -- I don't know 'cause I'm not sure what 1 thought post the mesh excision things were a lot better, 2 you're asking. I don't know what discovery means. 2 and I saw documents that all her pain was gone. And ther 3 Q. Okay. Right. Typically we lawyers, you know, 3 it was very recently that I was supplied with a document 4 have to ask lots of questions, and one thing we do in 4 that she has pain again. 5 5 preparing for trial is send written questions to the MS. COTA: Q. Okay. 6 different parties in the case. And then it's -- you know, 6 A. It sounded -- I thought it had resolved on my 7 the party has to respond to them. 7 first review of the postsurgical records. 8 8 I'm just wondering if your counsel had provided Q. Okay. And were you aware that she also 9 9 any of Ms. Perry's responses to any of those requests to complains -- or complained -- yeah, we'll leave it as 10 10 you to review? complain of pelvic pain. 11 11 A. They may have. I've seen other material. MR. WES: Same objection. 12 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure about the pelvio Q. Okay. But as far as knowing whether or not 13 they're discovery responses, you wouldn't be able to tell 13 pain. I'm not so aware of that. I know there's other 14 me? 14 pain issues related to a motor vehicle accident and some 15 15 back pain, but I don't -- other than that I don't know a 16 Q. Okay. Do you know, as we sit here today, if 16 lot about that. 17 Ms. Perry continues to complain of pain? 17 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. But even since Dr. Allen's 18 A. My understanding is that she is. 18 procedures to excise a portion of the mesh and also widen 19 19 Q. Okay. And you reviewed Dr. Allen's records, so I the introitus, Ms. Perry continues to complain of pain? 2.0 know that you are aware that she had a portion of the mest 20 MR. WES: Objection, form, foundation, 21 excised, I believe, in January of 2012; is that right? 21 speculation, outside the scope. 22 22 MS. COTA: Q. You can answer. A. Correct. I believe that's when it was. 23 23 A. Yeah, she's still complaining of some kind of Q. Okay. And are you aware that during the excision 24 procedure that Dr. Allen also performed a procedure to 24 pain -- or has started complaining again, I guess is what 25 basically widen the entrance or the circumference of the I would say, because I thought at some point she was

Page 132 Page 130 MS. COTA: Q. And I believe you stated that in 1 reporting it was all resolved, and now it seems to have 2 2 your opinion the plaintiff's complaints of pain were due 3 3 Q. And is that based on your review of her medical to the colporrhapy procedure; is that right? 4 A. I think that her dyspareunia is likely due to the 4 records? 5 5 A. Yes. The records that have been supplied by me, colporrhaphy and that narrowing. 6 6 Q. And what is that opinion based on? yeah. 7 Q. Okay. And I know you're aware of Dr. Margolis's 7 A. It's a known complication of colporrhaphy, 8 8 reports, although you haven't had a chance to review it, number one; and number two, there was a substantial amount 9 9 the report of the IME. So -of that perineal tissue that was removed. And the more of 10 10 A. The IME? the perineal tissue, the more likely that there's going to 11 Q. Yes, the independent medical exam. I'm sorry. 11 be pain associated with that -- with a posterior 12 A. Okay. 12 colporrhaphy procedure. And that's -- I think that's 13 Q. Okay. So are you aware that in Dr. Margolis's 13 pretty well established that there's a significant risk 14 14 report he writes that he is able to replicate or reproduce for that. 15 Q. And is that something you know from your practice 15 the plaintiff's pain complaints by palpating her vagina 16 16 where the mesh is? as a pathologist? 17 MR. WES: Objection, form, foundation, calls for 17 A. Yes. Just -- well, practice as a GYN 18 speculation. This is outside the scope. 18 pathologist, yes. 19 19 THE WITNESS: And I don't recall that. I'm Q. Okay. And is that based in any way on any of the 20 20 not -material that you reviewed that was provided to you by 21 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. So you're not aware that 21 22 Dr. Margolis wrote that in his independent medical exam 22 A. There were some -- there was some literature that 23 report? was provided recently on complications of colporrhaphy, 2.4 A. No, not specifically I'm not. 24 and they were actually provided after I made the 25 Q. Okay. And I know you mentioned earlier you read 25 observation there was an awful lot of perineal tissue. Page 133 Page 131 And I wondered if that was -- my first thought was maybe 1 Patrick Perry's deposition transcript and talked about his 1 2 complaints of pain, and I think you said that his 2 this is what's really causing her dyspareunia, and it was 3 3 shortly thereafter they provided me with this literature. complaints had to do with feeling something, I think you 4 said, in the posterior or anterior portion of the vagina 4 Q. Okay. Hang on one second here. 5 5 of material that he could feel on his penis; is that A. And it also corroborates -- I believe Dr. Allen 6 6 seemed to think that was part of it. I think he was right? 7 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, misstates 7 attributing her pain to that as well. 8 8 Q. And that's from reading his deposition transcript the testimony. 9 9 or his medical records? THE WITNESS: I think it was something was 10 10 irritating the shaft of his penis during intercourse. A. One or the other. 11 11 MS. COTA: Q. Do you recall if he testified that Q. And you spent some time telling us about the --12 it was -- felt like a Brillo pad? 12 and I'm going to say this wrong -- is it a mucosal 13 A. That part I don't --13 non-healing wound or a non-healing wound in the mucosa 14 14 or --MR. WES: Same objection. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't really recall what --15 A. Either way. 16 his --16 Q. Okay. Great. 17 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. 17 A. Yeah. 18 A. -- analogy. I don't remember that. 18 Q. You talked about that. Could that have been 19 19 Q. Okay. Do you recall if he testified that the causing Ms. Perry's pain? 20 narrow opening -- narrow introitus was causing him any 20 MR. WES: Object to form. 21 pain? 21 THE WITNESS: It didn't sound like it. The kind 22 22 of pain she was describing, the dyspareunia, did not sound MR. WES: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that. 23 like that that was -- because she was really just talking 24 MR. WES: Speculation. 24 about pain on entry initially, and that didn't sound like 25 THE WITNESS: No. it was anything to do with any kind of non-healing wound

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 134

MS. COTA: Q. In general, in a non-healing wound of the type you're describing, would that potentially cause someone to suffer some pain?

4 A. It may or may not. Again, you know, if you're --

yeah, it may not. If there's some level of vascular
 insufficiency that -- particularly in diabetics. Now,

7 she's not the classic type I, but she has been diagnosed

8 with type II. They can have wound healing problems, and

9 they may not have the same -- they may not notice they

have injury. Their sensation may not be there. So it's
possible that, you know --

Q. And I'm sorry, you told us this earlier, and I

said I wasn't going to do this, but when did you firststart reviewing materials for this case?

start reviewing materials for this case?

A. It was mid or late summer --

16 Q. Okay.

17

2.4

4

5

9

14

A. -- of this year obviously.

Q. And when did you first look at any of the slides?

19 A. I think it was late summer.

Q. Summer. You mean August or September?

21 A. I think August.

Q. And did you look at all of the slides you

23 reviewed all at once, or did that happen in stages?

A. There was stages, but I think -- no, I think I

25 did -- I reviewed all the slides the first time, I

Page 135

- $1\,$ $\,$ believe. And then there was another set of recuts that I
- 2 saw again, but they were recuts of the slides I had

3 already seen, as far as I recall.

- Q. Okay. So you were actually -- you saw all the slides for purposes of what they revealed to you in Augus of this year; is that right? That probably wasn't a good
- of this year; is that right? That probabquestion.

8 MR. WES: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I think it was August.

MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And so was it at that time upon your review in August that you came to -- or formed

your opinion that there were these fragments of the

13 perineum?

MR. WES: Object to form.

MS. COTA: Q. I'm sorry, was that a yes?

A. Yes. At the time of my first review of the

17 colporrhaphy tissue that was removed, yes, that was my

18 opinion then.

- Q. Okay. And prior to your review of the samples, had you received any literature regarding the potential
- risks or side effects of colporrhaphy procedures?
- A. No. No. As I mentioned, those came after I made
- 23 the observation that there was a significant amount of
- that perineal tissue that was removed.
- Q. Okay. And Ms. Perry, she's complaining of pain

Page 136

other than the complaints of dyspareunia; is that right?

MR. WES: Objection, form, calls for speculation,

3 foundation, outside the scope.

THE WITNESS: My understanding it's more recently she's complaining of different kinds of pain now, yes.

MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And do you have any opinior of what could be causing those complaints of pain?

MR. WES: Objection, outside the scope, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Well, okay. So I -- my response is yes and no as to whether I have an opinion. I do think that post colporrhaphy, even when you try to, you know, fix the pain, that it's continuing and ongoing.

So even though Dr. Allen tried to dilate the -you know, the expand the circumference and dilate the
introitus, there's always a chance that it will -- the
pain, the dyspareunia, will recur for lots of reasons, but
one of them would just be that it just sort of narrows
down again.

So I would suspect that if, in fact, she's redeveloped pain, that's probably still a component of that colporrhaphy procedure. But there are other components of that pain that I have no opinion, 'cause they don't really make sense to me. I don't understand why she has them.

Page 137

1 Q. Okay. And what --

2 A. So I can't address those.

Q. And what -- I'm sorry, were you finished?

A. Well, I think she said something about burning
 vaginal pain without -- just de novo. I don't understand
 that.

Q. Okay. Any other components of her pain thatdon't make sense to you?

9 A. Well, those are the only one that I recall. When 10 I read it, it didn't make any -- I don't know what that 11 means --

12 Q. Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

A. -- or how to explain that or even --

Q. And her -- what about her complaints of vaginal pain? Does that make any sense to you?

MR. WES: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: No, not really.

MS. COTA: Q. And pelvic pain?

19 A. Well, again --

20 MR. WES: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall reading about the pelvic. I don't know what she means by pelvic pain.

23 So that I don't know.

24 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. If --

A. Pelvis is a big area, so I don't know where she's

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Page 140 Page 138 1 talking about or what that's referring to. 1 make clear exactly what is on that flash drive that has 2 Q. Okay. So if you were aware that she -- or if 2 actually been reviewed. 3 MR. JONES: Versus what hadn't been reviewed? 3 she -- if you were aware that she was complaining of pelvic pain, you wouldn't know the cause of that; is that 4 4 MR. WES: Correct. 5 5 right? MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you. 6 A. I wouldn't --6 Q. Doctor, you understand this trial is set to begin 7 MR. WES: Object to form, calls for speculation, 7 January 12th, 2015, correct? 8 8 A. Correct. outside the scope. 9 Go ahead. 9 Q. And I assume you've cleared your schedule and you 10 MS. COTA: Q. You can answer. 10 would be able to come and testify the month of January? 11 A. No, I wouldn't know. 11 A. After the 12th, yes. 12 12 Q. Okay. And Dr. Longacre, I know you've testified Q. You'll be able to testify? 13 and told us that, you know, these opinions, you know, 13 A. Yes. 14 14 constitute the opinions you'll be giving at trial. Q. Final question. Will you be giving an opinion 15 that the AC procedure, anterior colporrhaphy procedure, Are you going to be expressing any opinion of 15 16 Dr. Luu's procedures that he performed on Ms. Perry? 16 the AC procedure, caused dyspareunia in Ms. Perry? 17 A. No. 17 MR. WES: Object to form. 18 Q. Are you going to be making any criticisms of the 18 THE WITNESS: I think -- well, it was an anterior 19 procedures that Dr. Luu performed on Ms. Perry? 19 and posterior colporrhaphy, and it's generally the A. No. 20 20 posterior colporrhaphy part that is thought to be 21 Q. Are you going to be making any criticisms about 21 associated with the dyspareunia, not necessarily the 22 22 Dr. Luu? anterior colporrhaphy. 23 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving an opinion the A. No. 23 2.4 MS. COTA: Okay. Thank you very much. I don't 24 PC procedure caused dyspareunia in Ms. Perry? 25 have any more questions. 25 MR. WES: Object to form. Page 139 Page 141 1 **EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES** 1 THE WITNESS: In all likelihood I think that it 2 MR. JONES: Q. A few issues. Doctor, you 2 did, yes. 3 brought with you some materials today that you could refer 3 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving that opinion 4 to, correct? 4 at trial? 5 5 A. Correct. A. Yes, I will. 6 MR. JONES: I'd like to go ahead and mark those 6 Q. Is that an opinion that's included in this 7 materials that we haven't already previously marked as 7 summary of opinions list? 8 8 Exhibit L-8 (sic). A. I don't know why I keep -- it may not be. 9 MR. WES: Sure. I think those are going to be 9 Did you find it? This is why we have this 'cause 10 10 the two operative reports. I can't even remember what I say let alone what I write 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what they were. 11 down. 12 MR. JONES: Okay. We'll mark those as Exhibit 12 Oh, consistent with findings of the explanter of 13 I. --13 a tight band at the introitus. So that's consistent with 14 MS. COTA: The last one I have is L-8, the CV. 14 Dr. Allen's findings, and I guess by extrapolation I 15 MR. JONES: Mark L-9. 15 was -- his interpretation that that was probably what was 16 (Whereupon, Exhibits L-9 and L-10 were marked 16 causing the dyspareunia. The literature suggests that, 17 17 for identification.) and that's how I'm interpreting it. So that's what that THE WITNESS: What happened to L-4? 18 18 19 MR. JONES: A couple of follow-up questions based 19 Q. So consistent with findings of the explanter of a on information that came about through Laura's 20 2.0 tight band at the introitus? 21 questioning. 21 A. Yes. 22 Well, first off, Josh, you're going to provide a 22 Q. What you mean by that is you'll be giving an 23 narrow universe of materials that she has actually looked 23 opinion at trial that the posterior repair caused 24 at and reviewed, correct? 24 dyspareunia in Ms. Perry? 25 MR. WES: Yes, we will provide you of the list to 25 MR. WES: Object to form.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

Page 142 Page 144 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think -- the opinion is 1 O. Do you know how -- how wide the introitus was 1 2 that there was a -- there wasn't just vaginal tissue 2 following the PC procedure? 3 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, 3 removed, there was a fair amount -- significant amount of 4 4 perineal tissue. That perineal tissue -- in all speculation. 5 5 likelihood that -- the removal of that material in all THE WITNESS: Dr. Allen makes a comment about how 6 6 it's, you know, narrowed and how many fingers he could likelihood caused the narrowing, and narrowing of the 7 introitus is associated with dyspareunia. 7 insert versus after he dilated it, yes. 8 8 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. Do you know how wide the So in all likelihood, I think that that is what's 9 9 caused her dyspareunia, and that was her report of pain on introitus was prior to the PC procedure? 10 entry. So I think that's corroborated by Dr. Allen's 10 MR. WES: Same objection. 11 11 findings, and that's my opinion. THE WITNESS: I don't know that it was reported 12 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Are there any other 12 in that operative report. 13 conclusions that you'll be giving that aren't included in 13 MS. COTA: Q Okay. So is that a no? this summary of opinions? 14 A. Well, I think as -- I think even Doctor -- I'm 14 15 15 blocking on his name -- Luu? A. No. 16 Q. Okay. And you said that counsel provided you 16 Q. That's my client. 17 literature related to dyspareunia being associated with 17 A. Is that how you pronounce his name? 18 colporrhaphy procedures, correct? 18 Q. My client, yes. A. Yes. 19 A. I think as he mentioned it, if he had seen -- if 19 20 20 MR. WES: Object to form. there was an abnormality, he would have reported it. He 21 MR. JONES: Q. Did counsel provide you any 21 mentioned that in his deposition. So if there had been an abnormally narrow introitus, that would have been in his 22 22 literature related to transvaginal mesh causing 23 23 dyspareunia? op report. 2.4 MR. WES: Object to form. 24 He didn't say that specifically, but I know that 25 THE WITNESS: Counsel provided me a lot of 25 during his deposition he was asked something, and he Page 143 Page 145 literature about -- concerning dyspareunia associated 1 basically said it would be in there. If there was 1 2 with -- or with -- you know, associated with mesh, yes. 2 anything abnormal, it would have been in there. 3 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And once we get the list 3 So I would extrapolate that it wasn't abnormally 4 of materials that you actually are relying on in this 4 narrow at the time he did his mesh procedure and probably 5 5 case, we'll be able to look at that list and locate wasn't, not with all that -- usually with lax tissue, it's 6 articles where transvaginal mesh has been associated with 6 usually not narrowed. The enterocele and the recto --7 dyspareunia, correct? 7 cystocele. 8 8 (Reporter clarification.) MR. WES: Object to form. 9 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's another term for THE WITNESS: Transvaginal mesh material that's 10 10 been -- I reviewed articles that talked about pain rectocele. associated with transvaginal mesh. 11 MS. COTA: Q. But it's true we don't have any 11 12 MR. JONES: Okay. 12 documentation similar to Dr. Allen's report where he talks 13 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. about the number of fingers that he can insert? 14 MR. JONES: That's all the questions I have. 14 A. That's correct. 15 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. COTA 15 MR. WES: Same objection. 16 MS. COTA: And I'm sorry, I have like two 16 MS. COTA: And is the -- whether or not -- well, 17 follow-up questions. 17 strike that. I'm done. 18 18 Q. Dr. Longacre, you testified that it's your THE WITNESS: Okay. That's all. 19 MR. WES: Do you have anything else? Go off the 19 opinion that the dyspareunia that Ms. Perry complains of 2.0 is because of a narrow introitus caused by the PC 2.0 record for just a second. 21 procedure. Is that --21 (Discussion held off the record.) 22 A. Yes. 22 MR. WES: We can go back on. 23 23 EXAMINATION BY MR. WES O. -- accurate? MR. WES: Object to form. 24 24 MR. WES: Q. So just one very brief point of 25 MS. COTA: Thank you. clarification. I think plaintiff's counsel asked you

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

	Page 146		Page 148
1	earlier if you were being compensated for your deposition	1 1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
2	testimony today. Is it true that you're being compensated	•) ss.
3	for your time today?	2	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)
4	A. Yes.	3	
5	Q. Okay. And the opinions you're giving in this	4	I, LISA R. KEELING, a Certified Shorthand
6	case are your opinions; is that right?	5	Reporter in and for the State of California, hereby
7	A. Absolutely.	6	certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition,
8	MR. WES: Those are all the questions I have.	7	TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D.,
9	MR. JONES: Thanks, Doctor.	8	was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth
10	MR. WES: Standing order, rough and expedite.	9 10	and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause,
11	MR. JONES: Rough for me and expedite.	11	and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of the proceedings had at the taking of said
12	MS. COTA: Same for me.	12	deposition, reported to the best of my ability and
13	(Whereupon the deposition of	13	transcribed under my direction.
14	TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D.,	14	transcribed under my direction.
15	was concluded at 12:55 p.m.)	15	
16	was concluded at 12.33 p.m.)	16	
17	000	17	Date, 2014
18	000		LISA KEELING, CSR NO. 10518
		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 147		Page 148
1		1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2	ERRATA	2	
3		3	I,, do hereby
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE	4	certify that I have read the foregoing pages, and that
5		5	the same is a correct transcription of the answers
6	REASON:	- 6	given by me to the questions therein propounded, except
7		7	for the corrections or changes in form or substance, if
8	REASON:	- 8	any, noted in the attached Errata Sheet.
9		9	
10	REASON:	10	
11		11	TERM A LONG A CIPE M D
12	REASON:	12	TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D. DATE
13		13	
14	REASON:	14	Culturally day days and a
15		15	Subscribed and sworn to
16	REASON:	16 - 17	before me on thisday
17		18	of,20, by
18	REASON:	- 19	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory
19		13	evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
20	REASON:	- 20	evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
20		- ZU	
21		21	Signature
21		21 22	Signature
21 22		_ 22	Signature
21			Signature

38 (Pages 146 to 148)